IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SECOND DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.SHRAVAN KUMAR

WRIT PETITION NO: 31066 OF 2026

Between:

Mir Humayum Ali Khan, S/o. Late Mir Shahamat Ali Khan, Aged 45 years, Occ. Agriculture and Business, R/o. H.No.18-1-514/6/1/A, Naseeb Nagar, Near Ayesha Masjid, Chandrayangutta, Bandlaguda, Hyderabad.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep. by Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad, Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur Revenue Division, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar, Kandukur Mandal, Kandukur, Ranga Reddy District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly a Writ of Mtndamus declaring the Order passed by the 4th Respondent vide Proceedings No. B/244/2026 dated 23/06/2026, in rejecting the Petition submitted by the Petitioner and his brothers for mutation of their names in the revenue records and not issuing the E-Pattadar pass books cum title deeds in respect of the land to an extent of Ac. 17.13 Gts in Sy.No.84/1, situated at Dawoodguda, H/o. Pulmamidi Village, Kandukur Mandal. Ranga Reddy District without considering the contentions raised by the Petitioners and without looking into the documents submitted by the Petitioners, as illegal, arbitrary, unreasonable, colorable exercise and misuse of powers contemplated

under law and in violation of fundamental Rights enshrined under Article 14 and 300A of the Constitution of India apart from being in violation of the Principles of Natural Justice and set aside the Order passed by the 4th Respondent vide Proceedings No. B/244/2026 dated 23/06/2026 with a further direction to 4th respondent to mutate the name of the Petitioner in the revenue records and issue the E-Pattadar pass books cum title deeds in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.17.13 Gts in Sy.No.84/1, situated at Dawoodguda, H/o. Pulmamidi Village, Kandukur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 4th Respondent to mutate the name of the Petitioner in the revenue records and issue the E-Pattadar pass books cum title deeds in respect of the land to an extent of Ac.17.13 Gts in Sy.No.84/1, situated at Dawoodguda, H/o. Pulmamidi Village, Kandukur Mandal, Ranga Reddy District by suspending the Order passed by the 4th Respondent vide Proceedings No. B/244/2026 dated 23/06/2026, pending disposal of the above writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI S.SURENDER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: AGP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. SHRAVAN KUMAR

W.P. No.31066 of 2026

CNR. No.HBHC010640742026

Date: 02.11.2026

Between:

Mir Humayum Ali Khan

... Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and Three others.

... Respondents

ORDER:

Aggrieved by the impugned proceedings No.B/244/2026 dated 23.06.2026 passed by the respondent No.4, Tahsildar, in rejecting the petition submitted by the petitioner his brothers for mutation of their names in the Revenue records and not issuing the E-Pattadar Passbooks cum title deeds in respect of the land to an extent of Acs.17.13 guntas in Sy.No.84/1, situated at Dawoodguda, H/o.Pulmamidi village, Kandukur Mandal, Ranga Reddy district, (subject land) without considering the contentions raised by the petitioners and without looking into the documents submitted by the petitioners, present writ petition is filed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner made an application on 20.12.2021 to the respondent No.4 seeking mutation of the subject land as it is devolved on the

petitioner. Since no orders have been passed thereon, petitioner made online application on 03.12.2025, as prescribed under the provisions of the Bhu Bharathi portal along with required documents. However, though the respondent No.4, in his notice dated 30.04.2026 referred about the online application dated 03.12.2025, did not consider the contentions raised therein and only had taken into consideration the earlier application dated 20.12.2021 and passed the impugned proceedings dated 23.06.2026. Since the petitioner has given two applications, one is by physical mode and another one is by online, and has also given reply on 12.05.2026 to the notice dated 30.04.2026, the respondent No.4 did not consider the online application dated 03.12.2025 and reply dated 12.05.2026 and has passed the impugned proceedings dated 23.06.2026 therefore, the same is liable to be set aside.

3. The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue would submit that appropriate orders be passed.

4. On a perusal of the impugned proceedings dated 23.06.2026 there is a reference of notice dated 30.04.2026 but there is no reference of online application dated 03.12.2025 and the reply dated 12.05.2026 of the petitioner. In that view of the matter, recording the aforesaid submissions and with the consent of the learned counsel on either side, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent No.4 to reconsider the case of the petitioner by taking into consideration the online application dated 03.12.2025 and reply dated

12.05.2026 of the petitioner and after giving fair opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and after issuing notice to all the concerned/affected/interested parties and on proper enquiry pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of Six (06) weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

5. With the above observations, impugned proceedings dated 23.06.2026 passed by the respondent No.4 is set aside and this writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Sd/-A.H.S. GOWRI SHANKAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary Department of Revenue, Secretariat Building, Hyderabad, Telangana, State of Telangana.
2. The District Collector, Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur Revenue Division, Ranga Reddy District.
4. The Tahsildar, Kandukur Mandal, Kandukur, Ranga Reddy District.
5. One CC to SRI S.SURENDER REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court for the State of Telangana, at Hyderabad [OUT]
7. Two CD Copies

BSR

HIGH COURT

DATED: 02/11/2026

ORDER

WP.No.31066 of 2026



DISPOSING OF THE WRIT PETITION,

WITHOUT COSTS

(10)

11/11/26