

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

FRIDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 13375 OF 2025

Between:

1. Naredla Alivelu, W/o. Krishna, Aged about 53 years, Occ Housewife, R/o. 1-10-28/232/72NP, Meenakshi Nagar, Road. No. 6, Kushaiguda, Kapra, Medchal- Malkajgiri 500062.
2. Naredla Krishna, S/o. Naredla Potaraju Aged about 61 years, Occ: A. R. Head Constable, R/o. R/o. 1-10-28/232/72NP, Meenakshi Nagar, Road. No.6, Kushaiguda, Kapra, Medchal- Malkajgiri 500062.
3. Naredla Sravanthi, W/o. Someshwar @ Somesh Unnidas, Aged about 35 years, Occ. Women Police Constable, R/o. Plot No. 64, D. No. 13, Sai Teka Enclave, Meerpet, Moulali, Kapra, Hyderabad.
4. Naredla Usha @ Usha Sri, D/o. Krishna, Aged about 32 years, Occ Household, R/o. Plot No.16, PMR Enclave, Renuka Yellamma Colony, Keesara Mandal, Medchal District.
5. Someshwar @ M. Someshwar Unnidas, S/o. Nilakantan Mohandas, Aged about 38 years, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. Plot No. 64, D. No. 13, Sai Teka Enclave, Meerpet, Moulali, Kapra, Hyderabad.

...Petitioner/Accused No.2 to 6

AND

1. The State of Telangana, Rep., by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of Judicature of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. Smt. Naredla Parimala, W/o. Srikanth, Aged about 31 years, Occ Household, R/o H. No. 2- 1/3, CRPF Head Quarters, Palivelpula Village, Hanamkonda, Hanamkonda District.

...Respondents

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to i) Quash the proceedings in C. C No. 3758 of 2019, on the file of the Court of the Principal Junior Civil Judge Cum Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Hanumakonda, arising out of Crime No. 119 of 2019 of W. P. S, Warangal City, Warangal, for offenses U/s 498A, 406 and 506 of IPC and Section 3 and 4 of D. P. Act, as against the Petitioners, and ii) To pass such

other orders or orders, as this Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

I.A. NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 528 of B.N.S.S. praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in C. C. No. 3758 of 2019 on the file of the on the file of the Principal Junior Civil Judge- Cum- Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Hanumakonda, at Hanumakonda, including the appearance of the petitioners, pending disposal of the present Criminal Petition and further pass such other order or orders as this Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri N M M Murthy, Advocate for the Petitioner and Sri V.Jithender Rao, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and none appeared for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SMT.JUSTICE TIRUMALA DEVI EADA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13375 of 2025

Date:09.01.2026

Between:

Naredla Alivelu and 4 others

... Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 to 6

And

State of Telangana,
Rep. by the Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad and another.

... Respondents

ORDER:

This criminal petition is filed seeking to quash the proceedings against the petitioners-accused Nos.2 to 6 in CC No.3758 of 2019 on the file of Principal Junior Civil Judge cum Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Hanumakonda, registered for the offences under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 IPC and 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act (for short 'DP Act').

2. Heard Sri N.Madan Mohan Murthy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent No.1-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners herein are the parents and other family members of the accused No.1 and that the *de facto* complainant left the society of her husband i.e. the accused No.1 in 2014 and the complaint is lodged under Section 498-A IPC in the year 2019 with all false and omnibus allegations. It is only after the divorce petition that was filed by accused No.1, that the present complaint has been lodged by the *de facto* complainant to counter blast the said case and to harass the petitioners. He, therefore, prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that the statement of the *de facto* complainant points out specific allegations against the accused and that the petitioners-A2 to 6 have to face the trial. Therefore, he prayed to dismiss the petition.

5. Perused the record.

6. The contents of the complaint do point out specific allegations against the petitioners herein. It is alleged that accused No.1 used to consume liquor daily and used to demand additional dowry and threatened to kill the *de facto* complainant expressing suspicion over her. He used to beat her and harass her physically

and mentally. Her parents-in-law also threatened her and asked her to bring Rs.5.00 lakhs as additional dowry as she has given birth to a girl and further they used to say that the dowry brought by her is very less and with the additional dowry, accused No.1 can do business. They further threatened to kill the *de facto* complainant. It is further alleged that the sisters-in-law and their husbands frequently used to visit the place of the *de facto* complainant and accused No.1, during festivals and instigated accused No.1, and on their instigation, the accused No.1 used to consume heavy liquor, abused the *de facto* complainant and beat her without taking any care of the *de facto* complainant and her daughter.

7. It is further alleged that accused No.1 never used to do any work and whenever the *de facto* complainant questioned him, he used to demand additional dowry. He has sold away the gold and clothes of the *de facto* complainant given by her parents. When she expressed the inability of her parents to give additional dowry, the petitioners have necked her out. Thus, the allegations *prima facie* point the offences under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 IPC and 3 and 4 of the DP Act against the petitioners. LWs.4 to 6 are shown to be the panchayat elders. Their evidence need to be tested during the course of trial. The truth or otherwise in the allegations can be culled

out only after a full-fledged trial. Therefore, the proceedings cannot be quashed at this stage. However, it is deemed appropriate to dispose of the petition by dispensing with the attendance of the petitioners before the trial court.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is disposed of dispensing with the attendance of the petitioners before the trial court provided they are represented by a counsel on every date of hearing and they shall be present before the trial court as and when their presence is required during the course of trial.

Miscellaneous Petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

SD/- K. AMMAJI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER


To,

1. The Principal Junior Civil Judge- Cum- Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Hanumakonda, at Hanumakonda.
2. The Station House Officer, WPS Warangal City, Warangal City.
3. One CC to Sri. N M M Murthy Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana. [OUT]
5. Two CD Copies.

YJR /PSL

HIGH COURT

DATED:09/01/2026



ORDER

CRLP.No.13375 of 2025

DISPOSING OF THE CRIMINAL PETITION.

8
Asu
5/2/26