IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THURSDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CONTEMPT CASE Nos: 1899 & 3674 OF 2025

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1899 OF 2025

Contempt Case filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for wilful disobedience of the final Order of the High Court dated 30.06.2023 passed in C.R.P.No.1668 of 2023.

Between:

1. Smt Ruksar Fathima, W/o Mohammed Tajuddin Hunani D/o Mohammed Saleem Isani, Age 31 years, Occ Business All are R/o H No 94120 Quilla road Barkathpura Nizamabad.
2. Master Mohammed Murtaza, S/o Mohammed Tajuddin, Age 11 years, Occ: Student staying at Kakatiya Public School All are R/o H No 94120 Quilla road Barkathpura Nizamabad.
3. Master Mohammed Ahmed Siraj, S/o Mohammed Tajuddin, Aged 9 years, Occ: Student staying at Kakatiya Public School All are R/o H No 94120 Quilla road Barkathpura Nizamabad Petitioner No 2 and 3 are the sons of the Petitioner No 1 and are minors as such they are represented by their natural mother/ guardian/Petitioner No 1.

...Petitioners / Respondents 1 to 3

AND

Mohammed Tajaddin Hunani, S/o Late Mohammed Younus Hunani, Age 33 years, Occ: Business, R/o H No 91367 OPP to Quadriya Masjid Barkathpura Nizamabad.

... Respondent/Petitioner

Counsel for the Petitioners: SRI SHAFATH AHMED KHAN

Counsel for the Respondent: SRI M A K MUKHEED

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 3674 OF 2025

Contempt Case filed under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 to punish the respondents for wilfully, deliberately, disobeying and violating the Order of the High Court dated 30.06.2023 passed in C.R.P.No.1668 of 2023.

Between:

Mr. Mohammed Tajuddin Hunani, S/o. Late Mohammed Younus Hunani Aged about 33 years, Occ. Business R/o. H.No. 9-1-367, Opp. Quadriya Masjid, Barkathpura, Nizamabad, Telangana State.

...Petitioner

AND

Smt. Ruksar Fatima, W/o. Mohammed Tajuddin Hunani D/o. Mohammed Saleem Isani, aged about 31 years, Occ. House wife, Barkathpura, Nizamabad.

...Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI M A K MUKHEED

Counsel for the Respondent: SRI SHAFATH AHMED KHAN

The Court made the following: COMMON ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CONTEMPT CASE No.1899 of 2025
CNR.No.HBHC010313102025
&
CONTEMPT CASE No.3674 of 2025
CNR.No.HBHC010483062025

DATE: 08.10.2026

CONTEMPT CASE No.1899 of 2025

BETWEEN:

Smt. Ruksar Fathima and others

.....petitioners

And

Mohammed Tajaddin Hunani

.....Respondent

CONTEMPT CASE No.3674 of 2025

BETWEEN:

Mohammed Tajaddin Hunani

.....petitioner

And

Smt. Ruksar Fathima

.....Respondent

COMMON ORDER

Contempt Case No.1899 of 2025 has been filed by the wife and the children, whereas Contempt Case No.3674 of 2025 has been filed by the husband, alleging violation of the orders passed by this Court in C.R.P.No.1668 of 2023 by the respective parties.

2. Heard Sri Shafath Ahmed Khan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner – wife and Sri M.A.K. Mukheed, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent – husband.

3. The contention of the wife is that, as per the orders passed by this Court, the husband is entitled to take the children on every second Saturday at 10:00 A.M. and shall return them to their mother by 05:00 P.M. on the same day. It was further directed that the husband shall not make any attempt to take the children beyond the jurisdiction of the Court and that any such attempt would entail penal consequences. According to the wife, the husband has been taking the children directly from their school, in violation of the directions issued by this Court.

4. On the other hand, the contention of the husband is that the wife has been refusing to hand over the children to him in terms of the orders passed by this Court, compelling him to file Contempt Case No.3674 of 2025.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties at some length, both parties have agreed to strictly abide by the directions issued by this Court in C.R.P.No.1668 of 2023, without giving rise to any further disputes in this regard.

6. Recording the aforesaid submissions made by both parties, both Contempt Cases are closed. Both parties are directed to strictly adhere to the conditions imposed by this Court in C.R.P.No.1668 of 2023. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- MOHD.ISMAIL
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri M A K Mukheed, Advocate [OPUC]
2. One CC to Sri Shafath Ahmed Khan, Advocate [OPUC]
3. Two CD Copies

ABK

HIGH COURT

DATED: 08/10/2026

COMMON ORDER

CC Nos: 1899 & 3674 OF 2025



CLOSING BOTH THE CONTEMPT CASE

WITHOUT COSTS

