

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9523 of 2026

DATE: 29.06.2026

BETWEEN:

Upparla Durga Babu.

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.781 of 2026 before the Medipally Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the de facto complainant lodged a complaint before the police stating that after four years of the death of her husband, she got acquainted to petitioner, and over the last four years she had been in live in relationship with him. She informed that she had a daughter who is about fourteen years old. Alleging that the petitioner postponed to marry her time and again on one pretext or other and later refused to marry, a case was registered against the petitioner.

3. Heard Sri B. Akash Kumar, learned counsel for petitioner, and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent of the offences as alleged, and is falsely implicated in the case. He contended that the relationship between the parties was consensual and while advocating that the petitioner had been languishing in jail since 11.06.2026 and the material part of investigation being complete, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the bail application, contending that the allegations leveled against the petitioner are of serious nature and while averring that the investigation is not yet completed, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 11.06.2026. That apart, the record shows that the parties were in acquaintance with each other for the past eleven years and were in live in relationship over the last four years. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the progress in the investigation and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the IV Additional JMFC, at Medipally.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every

Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet, whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 29.06.2026
PT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9523 of 2026

Date: 29.06.2026

PT