

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9064 of 2026

DATE: 25.06.2026

Between:

Nenavath Anar Singh

.... Petitioner/Accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad and another.

.... Respondents/Complainants

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner praying to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.116 of 2026 of Parigi Police Station, Vikarabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 109 and 326(g) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that the *de-facto* complainant lodged a complaint before police stating that his father had two wives. From the first wife, who died about five

months ago due to illness, there is one son i.e., the petitioner herein and one daughter. From the second wife, there are two sons and one daughter. The complainant stated that disputes have been ongoing with his elder brother i.e. the petitioner herein regarding partition of family property. About one month ago, funds under the Raithu Bima scheme were received in the name of the deceased, which further intensified disputes. A case was also registered on 18.03.2026 against both parties in connection with these disputes. On the intervening night of 24.03.2026 at about 01:00 AM, while the complainant along with his family members were sleeping inside their house, the accused with an intention to kill them, poured petrol through a hole on the roof, which is used for dropping groundnuts/seeds and set the house on fire. Due to the fire, the complainant's father and mother, brother and the complainant himself sustained burn injuries. When they attempted to come out, they found that the door was locked from outside by the accused. The accused also shouted that he would kill all of them and also the injured persons shouted loudly. Upon hearing their cries, villagers rushed to the spot, opened the door and rescued them. Hence, the Complainant requested the police to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, initially the police

registered the case for the offence under Section 103(1) of the BNSS and later, the section of law was altered to the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Gadapa Vijay Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations levelled against him and has been in continuous judicial custody for more than 90 days since his arrest on 24.03.2026. He further submitted that the investigation has been substantially completed and, till date, no charge sheet has been filed. Therefore, the present petition is maintainable in view of the prolonged custody of the petitioner and the progress made in the investigation. Hence, he prayed this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the grant of bail, contending that the offence committed by the petitioner is grave and heinous in nature and that due to the acts of the petitioner, two members of the same family lost

their lives, and their dying declarations clearly indicate that the deaths occurred as a consequence of the petitioner's actions. However, he informed the Court that no charge sheet has been filed till date.

6. Having considered the rival submissions and upon perusal of the material on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 24.03.2026 and that even after completion of 90 days from the date of remand, the charge sheet has not yet been filed. Though the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, in view of the non-filing of the charge sheet and having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the progress of the investigation and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate at Parigi, Vikarabad District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m. and

5.00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 25.06.2026
SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.9064 of 2026

Date: 25.06.2026

SS