

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8904 OF 2026

DATE : 23.06.2026

Between :

Satlapally Navaneeth Kumar
@Navaneeth S/o Narsaiah.

...Petitioner/
Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State,
Hyderabad.
Rep.by Station House Officer,
P..S.Uppal.

... Respondent
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.675 of 2026 of Uppal Police Station, Malkajgiri Division, Malkajgiri District. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 69 of the BNS Act.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant lodged a report before on 20.05.2026, wherein it is stated that in the year 2022 the petitioner and herself were attended police Constable job coaching classes at Netaji Study centre, Dilsukhnagar, Hyderabad and they became acquainted with each other. She further alleged that the petitioner proposed to her, expressed his love and promised to marry and took her to his room at Madhurapuri colony, Dilsukhnagar and established physical relationship with her on the promise of marriage. She further alleged that the petitioner continued to visit her at her residence at South Swaroop Nagar, Uppal and continued their physical relationship with repeated assurances of marriage. The petitioner introduced her to his parents and sister during her sister's marriage in the year 2023. However in April, 2025, she discovered pregnant and informed the petitioner and upon his requested she consumed abortion tablets and a scan was conducted confirming termination of pregnancy. Thereafter, when she demanded for marriage, the petitioner is alleged to have refused on the ground that his family demanded a dowry of Rs.20 lakhs. Hence, she requested

the police to take necessary action on the complaint. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offence.

3. Heard Mr.MD.Nazeerudin Khan, learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the offence alleged against him; that whatever the relationship between the petitioner and the complainant is a consensual one; that no such offence committed by the petitioner; that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 01.06.2026; that material part of the investigation is completed and hence, he prays this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offence alleged against the petitioner are heinous and grievous in nature; that under the promise of marriage, the petitioner herein exploited the petitioner; that the investigation is not

yet completed and hence, he prays this Court to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 01.06.2026. According to the complaint averments, the petitioner and the complainant are acquainted with each other from the year 2022 and their relationship are consensual. Considering the allegation against the petitioner and also the period of incarceration, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned VI Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medipally.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused shall appear before the concerned S.H.O. between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.06.2026

YVL