

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8991 of 2026

DATE: 24.06.2026

Between:

Mohammed Mosin Khan

.... Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

State of Telangana,
State through SHO P.S. Alwal,
Division: Begumpet, Malkajgiri Zone,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana, at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

:O R D E R:

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who are arrayed as accused in Crime No.277 of 2026 of Alwal Police Station, Malkajgiri District, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 30.03.2026, *de-facto* complainant, who is the brother of the deceased, lodged a report

before the Police stating that the deceased, Smt. Urusha Riyan Khan, was married to accused No.1 in December 2019. After the marriage, accused No.1 and his mother, accused No.2, subjected the deceased to mental and physical harassment by demanding additional dowry and also harassed her on the ground that she had no children. Earlier, a case in Crime No.165 of 2021 was registered against them at Pet-Basheerabad Police Station for dowry harassment. Despite the registration of the said case, the accused allegedly continued to harass the deceased. On 29.03.2026, the deceased was found hanging in her residence. Upon receiving information regarding her death, the complainant reached Hyderabad and alleged that the continuous harassment meted out by the accused on account of additional dowry demands had driven the deceased to commit suicide. As such, he requested the police to take necessary action. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the abovementioned offence.

3. Heard Sri Ravi Chandra Bejjaram, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for Respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and has been falsely implicated in the present case and that, at the time of the alleged incident, the petitioner had gone for Namaz and, therefore, had no role in the occurrence. He further submitted that the petitioner never subjected the deceased to any form of harassment as alleged by the prosecution and that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 30.04.2026 and the substantial part of the investigation has already been completed and further contended that accused No.2 has been granted bail by the trial Court. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. He further submitted that an earlier case had also been registered against the petitioner for the offences punishable under Section 498-A of the IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, which *prima facie* indicates that the deceased was subjected to harassment for additional dowry by the accused and that the investigation is still in progress. Therefore,

granting bail to the petitioner, at this stage, does not arise and prayed the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 30.04.2026. As per the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, namely LWs.1 to 21, including the Investigating Officer, have already been examined. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation and the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner/accused, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned X Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate at Medchal Court, Medchal Malkajgiri District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every

Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.06.2026

SS

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8991 of 2026

Date: 24.06.2026

SS