

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No. 8898 of 2026

DATE: 23.06.2026

Between:

Talluri Jeevan Kareem Chand Das.

.... Petitioner/Accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by the Station House Officer,
Gachibowli Police Station,
Through Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioner under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.720 of 2026 of the Gachibowli Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS).

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 22.04.2026 at 16.50 hours *de-facto* complainant lodged a report before the Police, where in it is stated that she has been in a relationship for the past one and half year with the accused and they both love each other, for the past one year the accused has arranged accommodation for the Victim at Lakshmi Narsimha Hotel in Gowlidoddi and they used to meet every day; and that the Accused promised that he would marry her and believing his promise she trusted him and that on 26.01.2025 he took her and believing his promise she trusted him and that on 26.01.2025 he took her to a co-living hotel in TNGO Colony, Gowlidoddi where they had physical relations, thereafter the accused continued to meet her physically once a month or once every two months at the same co-living hotel in TNGO colony and at another hotel called as Oby Walk Inn Hotels and he used to visit her daily at her hostel at Gowlidoddi and would stay with her until around 1.00 AM or 2.00 AM before going back home and that recently the accused left from her place to go to his house and called her and that on the next day when she tried to call him, his phone was switched off and she waited the entire day, that when she called her parents and asked about him, they said they did not know and stated her not to call them again till date the Victim has

not received any information from him and that he has been in a relationship with her for one and half years, promising to marry her and has cheated her after having physical relations with her and switched off his phone. As such the *de-facto* complainant requested to take necessary legal action against the accused. Basing on the said complaint, Police registered a case against the petitioner.

3. Heard Sri B.Akash Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and whatever the relationship between the parties is a consensual relationship and the petitioner herein is falsely implicated in the present case and he is in jail since 21.05.2026 and the entire investigation was already completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the bail application, stating that the investigation is not yet completed and that an application was filed for recording evidence under Section 183 of BNSS on a

day-to-day basis but the same was not recorded by the concerned trial Court. At this stage, the petitioner is not entitled to bail. It is also stated that there is a threat to the witness and victim. As such, the petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed for dismissal of the bail petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both counsel and the material on record, the offence alleged against the petitioner is under Section 69 of BNS. As seen from the record, the petitioner has been in jail since 21.05.2026. The remand CD shows that the material part of the investigation has already been completed and LWs.1 to 11 have already been examined. Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the progress of investigation, and the period of incarceration and allegations against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the X Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Kukatpally.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the SHO concerned at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, (or) till the filing of charge sheet, whichever is earlier for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of BNSS (previously known as Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.)

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.06.2025
FM

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8898 of 2026

Date: 23.06.2026

FM