

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8524 of 2026

DATE: 18.06.2026

Between:

Dharavath Sujatha @ Ramavath Sujatha w/o.Bikku
...Petitioner/accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO, P.S.Pocharam IT Corridor,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana and another.

.... Respondents/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.246 of 2026 before the Pocharam IT Corridor Police Station, Medchal-Malkajgiri District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109, 61(2) of BNS.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 02.05.2026 at about 21:45 hours, a complaint was received from the *de facto* complainant stating that his marriage was solemnized in the year 2010 and they were blessed with two children. It is alleged that through acquaintance with a family friend, his wife developed an illicit relationship with another person, and both families maintained close contact over the years. Recently, the *de-facto* complainant observed abnormal behaviour from his wife and suspected foul play. Upon inspection of his house, he allegedly found concealed spy cameras installed in electrical fittings. He further alleged that earlier a conspiracy was hatched against him, including a staged kidnapping and forcible withdrawal of money from his credit cards. It is also stated that a few days prior to the complaint, his wife assaulted him with a knife and threatened to kill him. Based on the same, the police was registered a case for the said offences.

3. Heard Sri V.Agni Kumar, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that there is no *prima facie* material to substantiate the alleged offences and that the entire case is built on mere suspicion and assumptions. It is further submitted that the petitioner has two minor school-going children and is eking out her livelihood by stitching clothes to support her family and as such, if she is continued to be kept in jail, her entire family would be put to severe hardship and may even face starvation, as they are dependent on her income. It is also submitted that the petitioner being a women, she is in judicial custody since 08.05.2026 and that the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are grave and serious in nature. It is further contented that there are specific and clear allegations of conspiracy, illegal surveillance through installation of spy cameras, financial exploitation, and physical assault against

the petitioner. It is further submitted that investigation has revealed *prima facie* material supporting the involvement of the petitioner in the commission of the offence. It is also contended that if the petitioner is released on bail, there is every likelihood of influencing or threatening the *de-facto* complainant and other witnesses, thereby hampering the course of investigation. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 08.05.2026. As seen from the record, the petitioner has two minor school-going children and is the sole breadwinner of the family. If she is kept in jail, her family would face severe hardship as they are dependent on her income. Further, L.Ws. 1 to 13 have already been examined, and the investigation has also been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the duration of incarceration, the progress in the investigation, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned V Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Medipally.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 18.06.2026
gv

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8524 OF 2026

DATE : 18.06.2026