

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8529 of 2026

DATE: 19.06.2026

Between:

Koya Amarender @ Amar @ Amaranath

...Petitioner/accused No.2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through P.S. Pocharam IT Corridor,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.2 in FIR No.187 of 2026 of Pocharam IT Corridor Police Station, Malkajgiri District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 r/w 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 08.04.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that about 12 years ago, his marriage was solemnized with A.1, and they were blessed with three sons. After their marriage,

they shifted to Hyderabad for eking their livelihood and have been residing at Lingapur Village, Ghatkesar Mandal. For the past few days, the complainant observed changes in his wife's behaviour. Upon questioning, he came to know that she was having an illegal relationship with the petitioner herein i.e. A.2. Subsequently, when the complainant attended the 10th day post-death ritual at Rajapet, of their relatives, he questioned the petitioner regarding the said affair. Enraged by this, the petitioner quarreled with the complainant at Rajapet. Due to this incident, the complainant and his wife started living separately. However, later, with the intervention of village elders, the matter was settled in a panchayat, and his wife was sent back to the complainant's house on 24.03.2026. After rejoining, the A.1/wife continued to behave abnormally, frequently picking quarrels with the complainant and neglecting household responsibilities. On 08.04.2026, the A.1, in furtherance of her plan and with intention to kill her husband, waited until the neighbours were asleep and then called A.2 to their house. While the complainant was asleep, the A.2 attacked him with a centring stick, beating him on his legs and hands with an intention to kill him. On the complainant's pleas for mercy, both the accused fled from the spot. Due to the assault, the complainant sustained a fracture to his left hand and multiple injuries on his legs. Hence, the complainant requested to take

necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri N. Venkataiah, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is falsely implicated in the present case and in fact, there are disputes between A.1 and the de-facto complainant. He further submitted that the petitioner is in jail since 20.04.2026 and the entire investigation was already completed and the charge sheet is also filed, as such, the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for further investigation. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature and the petitioner herein along with A.1 severely bet the de-facto complainant, as such, the petitioner is not entitled for the bail. However, the charge is already filed and the PRC number is awaited. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 20.04.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 12 have already been examined. Further, the investigation was already completed and the charge sheet is also filed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned V Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medchal-Malkajgiri District, at Medipally.
- ii. The petitioner is directed to co-operate with the trial.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3)

of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of
the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand
closed.

Date: 19.06.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8529 OF 2026

DATE 19.06.2026

TU