

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8523 of 2026

DATE: 18.06.2026

Between:

K.Rohit

...Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO, P.S.Golconda, Hyderabad,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana and another.

.... Respondents/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.185 of 2026 before the Golconda Police Station, Cyberabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69, 351(2), 115(2), 79 of BNS.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 30.04.2026 at about 17:00 hours, a Zero FIR was received from Madhapur Police Station, Cyberabad, from the *de-facto* and stated that about two years ago, while working in a private company, she became acquainted with the accused, who later proposed to her. Initially, she refused and cut contact, but after some time, he re-established communication and gained her trust. Subsequently, the accused took her to his residence and, on 31.08.2025, forcibly established physical relations with her against her will. Thereafter, she became pregnant, and the accused, along with his mother, allegedly compelled her to undergo an abortion. From January 2026, she stayed with the accused, during which period he repeatedly subjected her to physical relations, harassment, assault, and threats, including blackmail. The accused allegedly deceived her on the false promise of marriage and continued to exploit and intimidate her. Based on the same, the police was registered a case for the said offences.

3. Heard Sri A.Mukund Raj Gokul, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the allegations are exaggerated and there is no material to show that any act was committed against the will of the victim. It is further submitted that the relationship between the petitioner and the victim was consensual, and they were in contact for a considerable period. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 05.05.2026 and that the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contended that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature, involving forcible physical relations, abortion under pressure, and continuous harassment and threats. It is submitted that the victim's statement clearly discloses that the petitioner exploited her on the false promise of marriage and subjected her to physical and mental abuse. The investigation is at a crucial stage, and there is a likelihood that the petitioner may

influence the victim or other witnesses if released on bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 05.05.2026. As seen from the record, it appears that the victim and the petitioner were known to each other since 2024 and were in a relationship, maintaining contact for a considerable period. Further, L.Ws. 1 to 12 have already been examined, and the investigation has also been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the duration of incarceration, the progress in the investigation, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XVII

Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate at
Hyderabad.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 18.06.2026
gv

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8523 OF 2026

DATE : 18.06.2026