

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8526 of 2026

DATE: 23.06.2026

Between:

Dharavath Ganesh

...Petitioner/accused No.2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through SHO, PS Kesamudram.

...Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.2 in FIR No.95 of 2026 of Kesamudram Police Station, Mahabubabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 132, 109, 126(2), 324(2), 118(1) r/w 3(5) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 23.05.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that, as per the instructions of kind officer, on 22.05.2026 at 22:00 hours he left the police station in the Night Patrol Innova

vehicle to conduct night patrolling within the jurisdiction of the Kesamudram Police Station. While patrolling, at approximately 23:00 hours, he reached the Penugonda Cross road. There, he noticed four individuals gathered around an auto-rickshaw. When he questioned them about what they were doing there at in the night hours, immediately, they started abusing him in most filthy language. They then picked up nearby stones and throw on him and trying to kill him. When he tried to dodge them, a stone hit to his right shoulder, causing an injury. Immediately he boarded the Innova vehicle and tried to escape from the spot. However, one of those four individuals brought their Bajaj Passenger Auto and parked it directly across their patrolling vehicle to obstruct him from leaving. Obstructing him from performing his legitimate duties, all of them together thrown stones on him again and although he managed to dodge the stones, they attacked the patrolling vehicle, damaged the right-side window glass of the rear seat. To save his life, he immediately escaped from the spot in the patrolling vehicle. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Pasham Trivikram Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun

Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is falsely implicated in the present case and he is in jail for the past one month i.e. from 23.05.2026 and the entire investigation was already completed and all the witnesses are official witnesses only and there is no chance of tampering the evidence. He further submitted that A.4 was already granted bail by the trial Court. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and grievous in nature and that the petitioner herein along with other accused obstructed the official duty of the de-facto complainant and he along with other accused attempted to kill the de-facto complainant. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 23.05.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 9 have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the period of incarceration undergone by the petitioner, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge, at Mahabubabad.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 23.06.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8526 OF 2026

DATE 23.06.2026

TU