

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8424 OF 2026

DATE : 17.06.2026

Between :

Atipamula Srikanth
S/o. A.Prabhu.

...Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State,
of Telangana,Hyderabad,
Through Medipally Police
Station, Medichal Malkajgiri
District.

... Respondent
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.631 of 2026 of Medipally Police Station, Medipally Malkajgiri, Division. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 69 of the BNS Act.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant lodged a report before police on 28.04.2026,

wherein it is stated that she acquainted with the petitioner during the year 2023 and from then onwards he is making phone calls to the complainant and became close to her. It is stated that later he proposed his love and willing to perform marriage and went to her house and convinced her parents. Believing him she also started moved closely with him and in the due course on 26.06.2025 he took her to Hotel Brindavan garden and established physical relationship with her in the pretext of marriage. Subsequently she also extended financial support to him for setting up his yoga studio in Peerzadiguda. Accused invited complainant to his yoga studio on 26.01.2026 had sexual intercourse. After few days complainant observed that accused is taking with another woman and she admonished him for good behaviour but the accused threatened that he won't marry her, if else married he would leave her within six months. In the month of February, 2026, the complainant family went to accused house to talk about the marriage, but he threatened them to leave the house. Hence, he requested to take necessary action against the accused. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offence.

3. Heard Sri P.Vinil Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused and Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent of the offence alleged against him; that the petitioner and the complainant known each other from 2023 and their relationship is a consensual in nature; that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 28.04.2026 and that material part of the investigation is completed, further incarceration of the petitioner is not required and hence, he prays this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offence alleged against the petitioner is grievous and heinous in nature; that investigation is not yet completed; that two occasions the trial Court dismissed the bail application and hence, he prays this Court to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both parties and perusal of the material on

record, the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 28.04.2026. As seen from the record, material part of the investigation is completed and L.Ws.1 to L.W.8 were examined. Considering the nature of allegations, period of incarceration and also progress in investigation, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Junior Civil Judge-cum-IV Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Medipally.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused shall appear before the concerned S.H.O. between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 17.06.2026

YVL