

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8388 of 2026

DATE: 12.06.2026

Between:

Dandu Yadaiah @ John

...Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in FIR No.123 of 2026 of Jogipet Police Station, Sangareddy District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 16.05.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that, she has been working in Health Department for the last 2 years

and she got acquaintance with the petitioner herein and the petitioner herein moved closely to her and after that he proposed her and she accepted the same and under the promise of marriage, he physically exploited her several times. After that she came to know that the petitioner's marriage is fixed with another lady and when she asked the petitioner about the same, he refused her words and warned her. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offence.

3. Heard Sri C. Sunil Anand, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is falsely implicated in the present case and whatever the relationship between the parties is a consensual relationship. He further submitted that, even according to the de-facto complainant, they were in relationship from the last 7 years and even she is a married woman and there is no legal divorce of the victim. He further submitted that he is in jail since 19.05.2026 and the entire investigation was already completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and grievous in nature. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 19.05.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 15 including the investigating authority have already been examined and also the statement of the victim shows that she took divorce in the presence of the elders. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned II Additional

District and Sessions Judge, at Sangareddy.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 12.06.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8388 OF 2026

DATE 12.06.2026

TU