

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8299 of 2026

DATE: 18.06.2026

Between:

Dashrath @ Darshan

...Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through S.H.O., PS Attapur, R.R.Dist.
Rep. its Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.507 of 2026 before the Attapur Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offences punishable under Section 137(2) 65(1) of BNS and Sections 3 read with 4(2) of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 19.04.2026 at about 19:00 hours, a complaint was received from *de-facto* complainant stated that she has one son and one daughter, and her husband died in an accident about six years ago. On 19.04.2026 at about 11:00 hours, her daughter, left the house to attend tailoring work opposite NPA, Shivarampally. At about 12:00 hours, her son, went to her workplace and found her absent. The *de-facto* complainant tried contacting her daughter on mobile number but the phone was switched off. Later, at about 16:00 hours, the complainant received a voice message from her daughter stating that she should not be searched for. The *de-facto* complainant suspects one Darshan, S/o Brijesh Kumar, as her daughter used to talk to him. Hence, she requests to take necessary action as per law. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the aforesaid offence.

3. Heard Sri Ms.Naseem Ara, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Sri D.Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that there is no direct evidence

to substantiate the allegations and that the complaint is exaggerated. The police remand report itself indicates that the girl and the petitioner were in regular contact through Instagram, that she accepted the petitioner's proposal, and that she voluntarily left her house on her own will, came to the petitioner's workplace, and thereafter both travelled together. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 22.04.2026. It is also submitted that the material part of the investigation has already been completed. Therefore, she prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, contending that the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature and as such, the investigation is at a crucial stage, and if the petitioner is released, there is a likelihood of influencing the victim and other witnesses. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on

record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 22.04.2026. As seen from the record, LW.1 to 16 were already examined and the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the duration of incarceration, the progress in the investigation, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XXV Additional Metropolitan magistrate of First Class, Hyderabad at Rajendranagar, Ranga Reddy District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).
 - iv. However, the petitioner is directed not to interact with the victim or the witnesses in any manner. In case of any violation or inconvenience caused by the petitioner, the victim and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor are at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail.
7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.
- Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 18.06.2026
gv

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8299 OF 2026

DATE : 18.06.2026