

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8281 of 2026

DATE: 12.06.2026

BETWEEN:

Sri Chhagan Lal @ Chagan Lal
S/o Dana Ram

..... Petitioner/Accused No.34

And

The State of Telangana,
Rachakonda Anti Narcotics Bureau Police Station,
Represented by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad, Hyderabad.

..... Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This criminal petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/A.34 seeking enlargement on bail in connection with Crime No.01 of 2025 of Rachakonda Narcotics Police Station, TG Anti-Narcotics Bureau (TGANB), Rangareddy District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Section 8(c) 22(C), 29, 27A and 27(a) of

Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act').

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on reliable information that some persons are selling cocaine and Ecstasy Pills to the customers, the police conducted inspection and seized the contraband of 150 grams of Cocaine and 1100 Ecstasy Pills from the possession of accused. Consequently, police registered a case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri P.Kusheel Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner herein is A.34. The allegation against him is that he is doing Hawala business and collecting money from Nigerian drug peddlers who are earning easy and quick money by doing drug business and assisting them by sending that money to the Nigerian accounts by Hawala means with the help of Chetan of Mumbai. He further contended that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner was in judicial

custody since 15.04.2026. Hence, requested this Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail stating that the allegations against this petitioner are serious in nature, investigation is not yet completed and some of the accused are not yet arrested. At this stage, petitioner is not entitled for bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in judicial remand since 15.04.2026. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed and LWs.1 to 131 witnesses were already been examined and no charge sheet is filed not yet. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/A-34, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/A-34 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for

a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judicial Magistrate of First Class Excise-cum-V Additional Metropolitan Magistrate-cum-V Additional Junior Civil Judge, L.B.Nagar at Ranga Reddy District.

- ii. The petitioner/A-34 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner/A-34 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 12.06.2026
YVL