

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8272 of 2026

DATE: 16.06.2026

Between:

Angothu Naveen

...Petitioner/accused No.3

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.3 in FIR No.752 of 2026 of Gachibowli Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 74, 75, 78, 329(3) and 309(4) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 28.04.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that, on

27.04.2026, the Security Control Room received information that a lady student's mobile phone had been stolen by a biker near the Post Office area. The Duty Security Officer along with the security team immediately reached the spot and recovered the mobile phone, which was found in the possession of a University student, Mr. Bhukya Naveen. The mobile phone was returned to the concerned student. Further inquiry revealed that Mr. Naveen was accompanied by three outsiders (non-students), who had entered the campus earlier at around 7:20 PM through Gopanpally Gate in a four-wheeler. One among them was involved in the robbery theft of the said mobile phone. It is also reported that one of the said outsiders took Mr. Naveen's motorcycle and gave a lift to a lady student, and misbehaved with her. The same individual subsequently attempted to enter Mr. Naveen's room in Men's Hostel-L and, after entering another room, allegedly attempted to misbehave with another girl student. The security team, along with students, traced the said individual in the South Campus and handed him over to the police personnel on duty. In view of the above, they request to register me against the individuals involved for robbery, trespass, and misconduct with women students. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri L. V. Ramana Rao, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the allegations and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that there are no specific allegations against the petitioner herein in the entire complaint and he is a B. Tech Student and if the petitioner is not released on bail, his future will be spoiled. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature. Further, the investigation is not yet completed, as such, at this stage the petitioner is not entitled for bail and if the petitioner is granted bail, there is every chance of threatening the witnesses. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 28.04.2026 and as seen from the record, the de-facto complainant lodged a report

with the allegations leveled against all the accused and the remand report shows that the mobile phone was stolen by A.1. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 8 have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned X Additional Metropolitan Magistrate Cyberabad, at Kukatpally, Ranga Reddy District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of

Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the
BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand
closed.

Date: 16.06.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8272 OF 2026

DATE 16.06.2026

TU