

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8302 of 2026

DATE: 22.06.2026

BETWEEN:

Gireshar Reddy

.....petitioner/accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.34 of 2026 before the Rajoli Police Station, Jogulamba Gadwal District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 64(2)(k) of BNS.

2. The prosecution case is based on a complaint dated 24.04.2026 lodged by the victim's brother, alleging that the petitioner, a co-villager, exploited the victim, who is mentally

unstable, on multiple occasions when she was alone at home, resulting in her becoming pregnant. The petitioner was arrested on 27.04.2026 and has been in judicial custody since then.

3. Heard Sri Narsimhulu, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri D. Arun Kumar, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to village factions, land disputes, and ulterior motives. It is contended that the prosecution case suffers from inconsistencies, particularly regarding the timeline of the alleged pregnancy and incidents. The case primarily rests on the uncorroborated statement of a psychologically vulnerable witness, and no scientific evidence, including DNA analysis, has conclusively linked the petitioner to the alleged offence. He contended that the material part of the investigation is completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. Further, the investigation was not yet completed. At this stage, granting of bail to the petitioner does not arise. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 27.04.2026 and the material part of the investigation is completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, age of the petitioner and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the JCJ-cum-JFMC at Alampur, Jogulamba Gadwal District.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 22.06.2026
PT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.8302 of 2026

Date: 22.06.2026

PT