

**. IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF
TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7969 of 2026

DATE : 09.06.2026

Between:

Mohd Naseer Baba and another.

...Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 and 3

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by the Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through Suryapet I Town Police Station, Suryapet.

...Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners who are arrayed as accused Nos.2 and 3 in FIR No.111 of 2026 before the Patancheru Suryapet I Town Police Station, Suryapet District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 329(4), 115(2), 324(4), 74, 75, 79, 351(2) r/w 3(5) and Section 7 r/w 8 of POCSO Act, 2012.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de-facto* complainant, lodged a report before the police on 14.04.2026 stating that, on 04.04.2026, the accused Shafi along with his followers criminally trespassed into her house by breaking the lock in her absence. On 05.04.2026, the accused again came to her house with followers, assaulted her husband causing injuries, abused the complainant and misbehaved with her minor daughters, threatened them with dire consequences and allegedly removed household articles including TV, fridge, cooler and washing machine, as such requested the police for taking necessary action. On receipt of the said complaint, the Police registered case against the petitioners for the offences as alleged. Aggrieved thereby, this Criminal Petition is filed seeking anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

3. Heard M/s. M. A. K. Mukheed, learned counsel for petitioners as well as Sri M. Rama Chandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the said allegations and they are falsely implicated in the present case relating to the civil disputes. It is further submitted that the de-facto complainant

lodged a false complaint against the petitioners and that the complainant sold out her house through registered sale deed vide Doc.No.14954 of 2025 and handed over the possession and again filed a false civil suit vide O.S.No.18 of 2026 against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the petitioners are not present at the time of commission of the offence and that the petitioner/accused No.3 was already arrested on 19.05.2026. The petitioners are ready to cooperate with the investigating authority. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, however, informed the Court that the notice to the victim was already served. Therefore, he requested the Court to pass appropriate orders.

6. In light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, this Court is of the considered view that, having regard to the severity of the allegations against petitioner/accused No.3 and the fact that the accused No.3 was already arrested on 19.05.2026, the criminal petition, to the extent of petitioner/accused No.3 is dismissed as infructuous.

Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner/accused No.2, as there are no specific allegations levelled against him neither in the report nor in the FIR, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner/accused No.2 shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Suryapet I Town Police Station, Suryapet, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail, on petitioner executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner/accused No.2 shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner/accused No.2 shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Monday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for

a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed with respect to the petitioner/accused No.2 and the Criminal Petition is dismissed as infructuous with respect to the petitioner/accused No.3.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 09.06.2026
RSP

K. SUJANA, J

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7969 of 2026

Date: 09.06.2026

RSP