

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7938 OF 2026

DATE : 29.05.2026

BETWEEN:

Mohammed Akram @ Akram & (2) others.

... Petitioners/Accused
Nos.1, 2 and 4

And

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its public prosecutor
High Court for the State of Telangana,
Hyderabad.

... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 and 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhitha, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') praying this Court to enlarge the petitioners on bail, who are arrayed as accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 in Crime No.62 of 2026 before the Mirchowk Police Station, Hyderabad. The offences alleged against the petitioners are under Sections 61, 316(2), 318(4), 308, 351, 352 read with

3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhitha, 2023 and Section 5 of the Telangana Protection of Depositors of Financial Establishments Act (TSPDFEA) and Sections 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Prize Chits and Money Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 09.03.2026 at 19.30 hours, Police received a complaint from LW.1 stating that around 7 months ago she came across an advertisement on instagram and after seeing the advertisement she shared her phone number and she received a call from Shoaib Irfan and informed that he was working as Manager and stated that she has to pay registration fee of Rs.200/- to join work from home opportunity and that she had visited and met two persons named Noman Raza and Shoaib Irfan, who explained about certain human self products costing Rs.30,000/- and later they encouraged her to enroll more people; she approached her relatives and collectively raised Rs.1,52,700/- and all the amounts have been handed over to the said Shoaib Irfan and that when she asked for money, the accused delayed the matter, harassed her with vague

assurances, visited her residence demanding more money to continue the business, and threatened to leak her training photos/videos and to kill her. Basing on the said complaint, a case was registered for the above mentioned offences.

3. Heard Mr.Muzafferullah Khan, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the offences alleged against them; the allegations made by the complainant are nothing but false; the Company as well as the Director of Company are not made as parties to the case; the petitioners have been in judicial custody from 25.03.2026; after registration of case, the police also registered similar cases against the petitioners at Mir Chowk Police Station and Madhapur Police Station and the material part of investigation is completed and LWs.1 to 13 were already examined and hence, prays this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed for grant of bail and submits that there are several victims i.e., 300 persons were cheated in the hands of the petitioners; that accused Nos.1 and 2 under the guise of selling goods, were involved in several illegal cases; the investigation is not yet completed, as such at this stage, the petitioners are not entitled for grant of bail and hence, requested the Court to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel and perusal of the material on record, it is evident that several crimes have been registered in relation to similar allegations and there are no specific or direct allegations made against the petitioners and that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 25.03.2026. As per the remand report, the prosecution witnesses LWs.1 to 10 were already examined. Considering the nature of allegations and the period of incarceration of the petitioners, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioners/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4, subject to the following conditions:

- 1) The petitioners/accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall be released on bail on their executing personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
- 2) The petitioner/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 10:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. for a period of four (08) weeks or till filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- 3) The petitioners/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall furnish their mobile numbers and surrender their passports, if any, before the trial Court and shall not leave the Country without prior permission from the trial Court.
- 4) The petitioners/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall not directly or indirectly influence, threaten, or induce any of the prosecution witnesses.
- 5) The petitioners/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall not hamper the investigation or tamper with the prosecution evidence in any manner.

- 6) The petitioners/Accused Nos.1, 2 and 4 shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 480(3) of the BNSS.
- 7) In the event of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution is entitled to file appropriate application for cancellation of bail granted to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA REDDY

Date: 29.05.2026

ss

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VAKITI RAMAKRISHNA
REDDY**

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7938 of 2026
Dt.29.05.2026