

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

**AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE E. V. VENUGOPAL**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.7591 of 2026**

**DATE: 14.05.2026**

**BETWEEN:**

Chawan Rohan  
S/o Subash.

...Petitioner/  
Accused No.1

And

The State of Telangana  
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,  
High Court for the State of  
Telangana at Hyderabad.

...Respondent/  
complainant

**ORDER**

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.25 of 2026 on the file of Bazarhathnoor Police Station, Adilabad District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are punishable under Sections 74, 115 (2) read with 3 (5) of the BNS and Section 8 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

**2.** The case of the prosecution is that on 04.03.2026 the mother of the victim lodged a Telugu written complaint,

alleging that on the date of incident, when the victim went to village outskirts for attending natural calls along with her cousin the petitioner came there and misbehaved with her and pulled her hand and when she started screaming loudly, the petitioner pressed her throat. Due to which she got injuries on her throat. Meanwhile, when the complainant went there to rescue her daughter, the brother of the petitioner came there and beat the complainant and victim girl. Hence, she lodged a present complaint and requested the police to take necessary action against the petitioner. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offences.

**3.** Heard Sri Naraparaju Avaneesh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State.

**4.** Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was arrested on 20.04.2026 and remanded to judicial custody and since then he has been in judicial custody; that in the event of granting bail to the petitioner,

the petitioner shall not tamper or meddle with the evidence or even interfere with the investigation; that the petitioner will cooperate with the investigation; that none of the ingredients would attract the offence under Section 8 of the POCSO Act. He further submits that the petitioner and the victim reside in the same village and as a matter of fact the victim is aged about 17 years; that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he pulled the hand of the victim and caused physical injury and except that nothing has been taken place and that the complaint lacks the necessary ingredients to attribute Section 8 of the POCSO Act and hence, he prays to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

**5.** On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the case is at investigation stage and some of the witnesses are yet to be examined. He further submits that since the role of A.2 has not been substantiated by any evidence, he has not been sent to judicial custody and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

**6.** Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and material placed on record, it can be seen that the petitioner is arrayed as accused No.1 and he has been languishing in judicial custody from 20.04.2026. As material part of the investigation is completed and the petitioner is aged about 22 years, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner subject to following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Boath.
- ii. On such release, the petitioner shall appear before Station House Officer concerned on every Monday between 9:00 a.m and 5:00 pm for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall not tamper with the evidence directly or indirectly.

- iv. The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, before the learned trial Court and he shall not leave the jurisdiction limits of the learned trial Court without prior permission.
- v. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

**7.** Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

---

**E.V. VENUGOPAL, J**

**Date: 14.05.2026**

YVL/SUS