

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7254 of 2026

DATE: 09.06.2026

Between:

Miryala Lenin
S/o Chinnaiah.

.... Petitioner/
Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through Vanasthalipuram
Police Station.

.... Respondent
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.1 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.678 of 2026 of Vanasthalipuram police station, Malkajgiri Commissionate. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 8 (c) read with 20 (b)(ii)(B) and 27 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Amendment) Act, 2001.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 11.04.2026 the *de facto* complainant received information about illegal transportation of Ganja (Narcotic Drug) weighing 2.005 kgs for sale of the same at Vanasthalipuram. The same was informed to the higher officials and registered a case against the petitioner and others for the aforesaid offence.

3. Heard Sri Ch.Raj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused No.1 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is innocent of the offence alleged against him and he has been falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since 12.04.2026; that the seized contraband is 2.005 kgs, which is a intermediate quantity; that there are no other cases pending against the petitioner; that entire investigation is completed, as such requested this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offence

alleged against the petitioner is punishable under the provisions of NDPS Act, as such the petitioner is not entitled for grant of bail. However, he submits that, there are no other cases pending against the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both parties and perusal of the material on record, the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 12.04.2026 and that the seized contraband is an intermediate quantity. Hence, considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner in judicial custody and quantity of contraband seized from the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused No.1 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused No.1 shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned VII Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Ranga Reddy District at Hayathnagar.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused No.1 shall appear before the concerned S.H.O. between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- (iii) The petitioner-accused No.1 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 09.06.2026
YVL