

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

CRIMINAL PETITION No.7090 OF 2026

DATE: 14.05.2026

Between:

Mr. Narayana Goud

.. Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad and other.

..Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

The petitioner/accused No.28 filed the present application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS), seeking to grant pre-arrest bail to him in Crime No.9 of 2025 of Hyderabad Narcotics Police Station which was registered for the offence punishable under Sections 8(c) read with 22(c), 27(A) and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

2. Heard Mr. Turupu Raghavender Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Mr. M. Vivekananda Reddy, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents-State.

3. The brief facts of the case are that on credible information the *de facto* complainant searched the house of A1 and seized 3.302 kgs of Alprazolam, 1.427 kgs of third stage Alprazolam, 1.510 kgs of second-stage Alprazolam, 1.434 kgs of first-stage Alprazolam, 13.700 kgs of 2-Amino-5-Chloro Benzophenone and net cash of Rs.21,40,000/-. Further, A1 to A9 were indulged in illicit drug trafficking, as such, requested to take action against them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is arrayed as A28 on the alleged confessional statement of A5 and A8, and A5 and A8 were already released on bail. Petitioner being senior citizen is willing to appear before Police and cooperate with the investigation.

5. On the other hand, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor opposed the grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner stating that

investigation is still under progress, and also drawn attention of this Court to the confessional statements and remand report of other accused, wherein, financial transactions between the petitioner and other accused are established. Therefore, prayed this Court to dismiss the anticipatory bail petition.

6. Since the investigation is not yet completed and taking into consideration the gravity of offences alleged against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the criminal petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE T.MADHAVI DEVI

May 14, 2026
MS/RRK