

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6954 OF 2026

DATE : 04.05.2026

BETWEEN:

Kande Jangamaiah Goud @ Jagan

.....Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO, P.S. Jawaharnagar,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad

.....Respondent/Complainant

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.230 of 2026 of Jawahar Nagar Police Station, Malkajgiri. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 126(2), 140(1), 127(8), 310(2), 61 r/w 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS').

2. The facts of the case are that on 14.02.2026 at about 18:00 hours, the defacto complainant lodged a complaint

stating that on 31.01.2026 at about 16.40 hours, while he was travelling from Janapriya to Dammaiguda, the complainant was intercepted at Jammigadda by a group of persons who kidnapped and assaulted him. He was taken to an isolated place on the Bhuvanagiri-Jangaon route, where he was again assaulted and forced to admit to having a relationship with his sister's daughter, Shanmukhi aged about 17 years. Out of fear, he admitted the same and his statement was recorded. Later, he was moved to another unknown location where his gold chain and mobile phone were robbed. Subsequently, his sister Madhuri, her family members, and others also assaulted him before dropping him back at Jammigadda with his car. The complainant later identified some of the accused as Sai, Mahesh, and suspects that one Jangamayya Goud trapped Shanmukhi, misused her, and tried to falsely implicate him. Hence, requested the police to take necessary action against the accused basing on which the presence crime is registered against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri P. Manoj, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner/Accused No.1 is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case due to personal vendetta arising out of financial disputes with petitioner's family. It is further submitted that the petitioner herein has already been arrested in another Crime No.184 of 2026 on 05.02.2026 and he was remanded to the judicial custody on 23.03.2026 in the present case. It is further submitted that the prosecution witnesses LW's 1 to 10 have already been examined and no further investigation is required in the present case. Hence, prayed this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature. The petitioner along with other accused assaulted the complainant. Further, the investigation is not yet completed. If the petitioner is released on bail, he may threaten the witnesses and tamper with evidence. Hence, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material on record, the petitioner herein is in jail since 23.03.2026 and has already been arrested in another Crime

No.184 of 2026 on 05.02.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 10 have already been examined and all the other accused were already granted bail, considering the allegations against the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions :

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned X Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Medchal-Malkajgiri District at Medchal.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date :04.05.2026
TU

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6954 OF 2026

DATE : 04.05.2026

TU