

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6815 of 2026

DATE: 04.05.2026

Between:

Adarsh Ringanmode
S/o Ganapathi

.... Petitioner/
Accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad,
Through SHO PS
Adilabad Rural.

.... Respondent

O R D E R

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.119 of 2026 on the file of Adilabad Rural Police Station, Adilabad District. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 64 (2)(m), 85, 88 of the BNS of 2023 and Section 6 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant lodged a report before police on 26.03.2026 wherein it is stated that the petitioner developed ill-intention

against the victim who is aged about 16 years, and he used to deceitful words, tamed her in the year 2020, the petitioner went to the house of the victim, took her to his house while he was alone, had sexual intercourse with her. After that the petitioner had sex with victim repeatedly due to which the victim became pregnant in the year 2024-2025, then the petitioner gave pills to her and terminated her pregnancy twice. When she asked the petitioner to marry her, the petitioner told that he was already married. It is further stated that the petitioner told that he would give divorce to his wife and accordingly he gave divorce to his wife. Thereafter, the petitioner and the victim performed their marriage. After some time, the petitioner started harassing the victim physically and mentally for removal of pregnancy and he picked up quarrel and necked her out from the house. Hence, she requested the police to take necessary action against the petitioner. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offences.

3. Heard Sri Donkuri Mallikarjun, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him; that as on the date of registration of crime, the victim is a major, therefore, POCSO Act is not applicable and Section 64 of the BNS is also not applicable as both parties are married on 01.12.2025; that entire investigation is completed, as such requested the Court to grant bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same on the ground that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious and heinous in nature; that the investigation is not yet completed, as such requested the Court to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both parties and perusal of the material on record, both parties are married persons and the record shows that the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 27.03.2026. The allegation against the petitioner shows that the petitioner agreed for the marriage and performed the marriage and there is delay in lodging complaint from the date of initial offence.

Therefore, considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner in judicial custody, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judicial First Class Magistrate Mobile (PCR), Adilabad.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused shall appear before the SHO concerned between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-accused shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 04.05.2026

YVL