

**THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6798 of 2026

DATE :01.05.2026

Between :

Achyuta Chinmaya Nanda.

... Petitioner/Accused

And

The State of Telangana, through
Police Station Karkhana,
Rep.by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad.

... Respondent

: ORDER :

This criminal petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 by the petitioner/Accused seeking anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No.114 of 2026 of Karkhana Police Station, Malkajgiri. The offence alleged against the petitioner is under Section 69 of the BNS.

2. Brief facts of the case are that on 30.03.2026 at 09:00 hours a complaint was lodged by Ms. Reshma Bee stating that for the past three years she has been in a relationship with a man viz. Achuta Chinmaya Nanda, who initially approached her and assured that he would convince his mother regarding their inter-religious relationship and marry her and believing the

same she entered into a relationship with him and they met physically on several occasions. It is further stated that in October 2025 she conceived and informed the petitioner and he promised to marry her and persuaded her to undergo an abortion, which took place on 11.10.2025, and thereafter in December 2025 they again met physically. Subsequently, he informed her that his mother had suffered a heart attack and would not agree to their marriage and ended the relationship and though they resumed contact later, he avoided commitment whenever she insisted on marriage. On 29.03.2026, she came to know that he had been in another relationship with one Harika for the past three months without her knowledge and thus alleging that he made false promises of marriage and deceived her, she requested to take necessary action as per law.

3. Heard Sri T. Jayant Jaisoorya, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that there is no false promise of marriage was made by the petitioner to the *de-facto* complainant. It is submitted that from the beginning, the petitioner informed the complainant about the inter-religious nature of their relationship and tried to convince

his mother for the marriage. However, his mother did not agree and the petitioner informed the same to the complainant. It is further contended that the facts of the case do not disclose any element of deception or false promise so as to attract Section 69 of the BNS. The learned counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to co-operate with the investigation and that custodial interrogation is not required. Hence, it is prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the grant of bail on the ground that the petitioner persuaded the victim to enter into a relationship under the promise of marriage and maintained such relationship with the *de-facto* complainant for the past three years. Therefore, it is contended that the petitioner is not entitled to anticipatory bail, and the Court is requested to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the parties and the material on record, it is observed that the petitioner had initially stated that he would convince his mother for an inter-religious marriage. Subsequently, he informed that his mother suffered a heart attack and did not agree to the marriage. The allegations against the petitioner and

circumstances of the case do not *prima facie* attract Section 69 of the BNS Act, and custodial interrogation is not necessary. In that view of the matter, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Karkhana Police Station, Malkajgiri within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner on bail on her executing personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482 (2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 01.05.2026

FM

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6798 of 2026

Date: 01.05.2026

FM