

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6497 OF 2026

DATE : 04.05.2026

Between :

S.Arun Raj

S/o Shiva Kumar

... Petitioner/A.4

And

The State of Telangana

Rep.by Public Prosecutor

High Court of Telangana,

Hyderabad, through SHO, Chandanagar

Police Station, Cyberabad

Commissionerate.

... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.4 in Crime No.1384 of 2025 of Chandanagar Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Sections 8(c) r/w.22 (c), 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'NDPS Act').

2. The facts of the case, in brief, are that on 23.11.2025, upon alleged credible information, the police apprehended A.1

to A.3 near Gopinagar Lake and allegedly seized 15 grams of MDMA from the possession of A.1. It is further alleged that A.1, along with A.2 and A.3, had purchased the said contraband from A.4 in Karnataka and were intending to sell or consume the same. Hence, the police registered a case against the petitioner for aforesaid offences.

3. Heard Sri P.Vikasraj, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he has been falsely implicated in this case basing on the confession which is inadmissible in evidence, that there are procedural lapses and no independent mediators were secured. Further the contraband involved is 15 grams of MDMA which is below the commercial quantity. Petitioner is in jail from 08.12.2025 and that most of the investigation is completed and charge sheet is not yet filed. Hence, prayed this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed for grant of bail contending that the contraband seized is a huge commercial quantity, as such, in view of rigor of

Section 37 of NDPS Act, petitioner is not entitled to bail. However, he submitted that charge is not yet filed. Hence, prayed this Court to dismiss this criminal petition.

6. Having regard to the submissions made and the material placed on record, it is seen that petitioner is in jail from 08.12.2025 and till today charge sheet is not filed. Considering the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail and the facts and circumstances, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the I- Additional District & Sessions Judge, Rangareddy District at L.B.Nagar.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date : 04.05.2026

YVL