

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6529 of 2026

DATE: 30.04.2026

Between:

Buddala Srinivas
S/o Ramulu

.... Petitioner/
Accused No.3

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad,

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.3 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with C.C.No.04 of 2025, pending on the file of learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Mahabubnagar.

2. Heard Sri R. Prasanth, learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused No.3 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

3. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was remanded to judicial custody upon execution of a Non-Bailable Warrant (NBW) on 07.04.2026 which had been issued by the trial Court and since then he has been in custody. Thereafter the petitioner filed CrI.M.P.No.133 of 2026 in C.C.No.04 of 2025, which was dismissed by the trial Court. He further contended that the petitioner could not appear before the trial Court as he was unaware of the date of adjournment and also he was not received any summons to appear before the trial Court. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

4. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the petitioner is not cooperating with the trial. If the petitioner is released on bail, there is every chance of his absconding, which would hamper the trial. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

5. Having considered the rival submissions and upon perusal of the material on record, it is evident that the petitioner has been in custody since 07.04.2026. The prosecution has yet to complete examination of three witnesses. Considering the overall facts and circumstances of the case, the stage of investigation, and

the period of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner-Accused No.3, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Mahabubnagar.
- ii. The petitioner is required to fully cooperate with the Trial Court throughout the proceedings and must be present before the Court on each adjourned date.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

6. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 30.04.2026

FM

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6529 of 2026

DATE: 30.04.2026

FM