

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6451 OF 2026

DATE :06.05.2026

Between:

Chittoor Amarender

....Petitioner/A.5

AND

The State of Telangana

.....Respondent/Complainant

:ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking the Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner/A.5 in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.551 of 2024 of Mahabubnagar Rural Police Station, Mahabubnagar District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 419, 420, 465, 471, 423, 424 r/w.34 of Indian Penal Code.

2. The facts of the case are that on 23.09.2024 at about 10:00 hours, the complainant, Smt. Ameena Basravi, lodged a complaint stating that she is the absolute owner of agricultural land admeasuring Ac. 07-11 guntas situated in Kadar Village, Mahabubnagar Rural Mandal, which she acquired through proceedings dated 25.02.2015 and for which a pattadar passbook was issued in her favour. It is alleged that during her absence, one Abdul Rahman Baravi, who is not related to her family, in collusion with revenue officials and others, created false proceedings and, on that basis, executed fraudulent sale deeds in favour of third parties in respect of her land during the years 2016 and 2019. It is also alleged that the accused persons conspired to fabricate documents, unlawfully transferred her property, and manipulated revenue records with the help of officials and others. Hence, requested the police to take necessary action basing on which the present crime is registered.

3. Heard Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner, a retired Tahasildar/MRO aged about 63 years with no criminal antecedents, is alleged to have issued mutation proceedings in the year 2016 in his official capacity, and the present allegations pertain to acts said to have occurred nearly eight years prior to the registration of the FIR, thereby casting serious doubt on the prosecution case due to inordinate and unexplained delay. It is further contended that the petitioner acted only in the discharge of his official duties, and even if any irregularity is assumed, the same does not constitute a criminal offence without cogent evidence of dishonest intention or personal gain. The learned counsel submitted that the investigation is substantially complete, relevant documents have already been collected, and no custodial interrogation is required. It is also contended that the petitioner is a permanent resident with deep roots in society, not a flight risk, and is willing to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that the petitioner being a public

servant committed the alleged offences, which is serious in nature. As such, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor, the allegation against the petitioner is that, while working as Tahsildar at the relevant time in the year 2016, he facilitated Accused Nos. 1 to 4 in obtaining mutation proceedings on the basis of allegedly fabricated documents. On the other hand, it is the contention of petitioner that he acted strictly in the discharge of his official duties and had no knowledge as to whether the documents in question were genuine or fabricated. It is also submitted that the petitioner has retired from service. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions :

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Mahabubnagar Rural Police Station, Mahabubnagar District within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioner on bail on his executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five

Thousand only) with two sureties, for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 05.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482 (2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 06.05.2026
Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6451 OF 2026

Date: 06.05.2026

Rds