IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 6571 OF 2026

Between:

1. Shaik Gafar, S/o Khadeer, Age. 37 yrs, Occ. Coolie, R/o H. No. 36-878. Chilkurilaxmi nagar, Adilabad
2. Shaik Saleem, S/o..Shaik Sattar, age. 23 years, Occ. Auto Driver, R/o Chilkurilaxminagar, Adilabad, Adilabad District.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1 & 2

AND

1. The State of Telangana, rep. by Public Prosecutor, High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.
2. Syed Mujahid, C/o. Not Known, Age. Major, Occ. Police officer, R/o. Adilabad Rural P.S, Adilabad District 504001.

...Respondent/Defacto Complainant

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to quash the C.C.vide No. 247 of 2025 on the file of Spl. Judicial First Class Magistrate at Adilabad against the petitioner/Accused No. 1 & 2.

I.A. NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 528 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in C.C.vide No. 247 of 2025 on the file of Spl. Judicial First Class Magistrate at Adilabad including the appearance of the petitioners, pending disposal of the above Criminal.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and none appeared for the Respondent No.2.

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO
CRIMINAL PETITION No.6571 of 2026
Date: 28.04.2026

Between:
Shaik Gafar and another

...Petitioners

AND

The State of Telangana and another

...Respondents

ORDER

This Criminal Petition has been filed by the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.247 of 2025, on the file of the learned Special Judicial First Class Magistrate at Adilabad.

2. Heard Mr.Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent No.1.

3. The specific allegation against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2 is that they have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries at cheaper rate to sell the same for profit and on 10.10.2024, the petitioners were found in possession of 5.5 quintals of PDS rice.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that without there being any complaint from any beneficiary, alleging that the rice was procured deceptively or with a criminal intent and

charging the petitioners for prosecution is untenable and improper. The allegations, even taken at their face value cannot be sustained against the petitioners. Further, this Court, in Crl.P.No.5709 of 2019, while considering the same situation, categorically observed that the offences alleged against the petitioners therein could not be continued and accordingly quashed the proceedings. The petitioners are also entitled to the same relief and hence prayed to quash the proceedings against the petitioners.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submits that as per prosecution, the offences said to have been committed by the petitioners is cheating and violation of Section 7 of the EC Act and requested to pass appropriate orders.

6. Section 7 of the EC Act contemplate that any person contravenes with the production, supply, distribution and trade of essential commodities, is punishable. As per the prosecution, the petitioners have procured PDS rice from the beneficiaries after supply from the dealer.

7. A Coordinate Bench of this Court in Crl.P.No.7227 of 2025 has considered the identical facts and observed that:

> *"There is no averment indicating that the petitioner in any way deceptively induced the beneficiaries to part with the supplied PDS rice or the beneficiary entrusted the PDS rice purchased by them with the*

petitioner and they dishonestly misappropriated or converted to their own use or used it in violation of a lawful direction or contract. In the absence of essential factors, on the face of prosecution, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise the jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023. Thus, continuance of proceedings against the petitioner is abuse of process of law".

8. The facts and circumstances of the present case also similar to those in the above case and hence, this Court finds it to be a fit case to exercise jurisdiction under Section 528 of BNSS by applying the same analogy and to quash the proceedings against the petitioners herein.

9. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed and the proceedings in C.C.No.247 of 2025, on the file of the learned Special Judicial First Class Magistrate at Adilabad, against the petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2, are hereby quashed.

Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- K.BHAVANI SWAMY
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Special Judicial First Class Magistrate at Adilabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Adilabad Rural Police Station, Adilabad District.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

GNK/KA

CC - TODAY

HIGH COURT

DATED: 28/04/2026



ORDER

CRLP.No.6571 of 2026

ALLOWING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

(8) Copies

PVC
01/05/2026