

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6300 of 2026

DATE: 27.04.2026

Between:

Thatikonda Laxma Reddy

...Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through SHO, P.S Borabanda,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in FIR No.164 of 2026 of Borabanda Police Station, Hyderabad District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 69 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 22.03.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she got acquainted with the petitioner herein in the month of July 2025 and she shared her financial difficulties with the petitioner and he informed her that she had earlier approached one Laxma Reddy and, by fulfilling his desires, her problems were resolved and Riya suggested that the complainant could also approach the said Laxma Reddy to solve her financial Issues. Accordingly, in the month of July 2025, the complainant met the petitioner herein and also engaged in real estate business and explained her financial problems to him. The petitioner allegedly told her that he would help her financially if she maintained physical relations with him. Believing his assurance, she had physical relations with him at his residence. Further, he promised to marry the complainant, and both stayed together for about two months in the said house. During this period, he was aware of her past, and they were in a relationship. In the month of October 2025, the complainant became pregnant and informed the same to the petitioner. Subsequently, on 14-11-2025, the

petitioner took her to Temple and performed a marriage ceremony with her on 14.11.2025. Initially, he behaved well after the marriage, but after some time, his behaviour changed. Later, the complainant noticed that he was frequently going out and not returning home regularly, and was allegedly maintaining an illicit relationship with another woman. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offence.

3. Heard Smt. J. Vijaya Laxmi, learned counsel representing Sri K. Ashok Chakravarthy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and whatever the relationship between them is a consensual relationship and he is in jail since 22.03.2026 and the material part of the investigation was already completed. It is further submitted that the victim was earlier present before the

trial Court and not opposed the bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature and the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 22.03.2026 and the report filed by the complainant itself shows that, at the time of entering into the relationship with the petitioner herein, the petitioner assured her that he will clear her financial difficulties. Later, he promised to marry the victim and also married her on 14.11.2025. Considering the facts and

circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration and also the statement made by the victim in the complaint that the petitioner herein already married her, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned III Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hyderabad, at Nampally.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose

of investigation, and thereafter, as
and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the
conditions stipulated in Section
437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section
480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall
stand closed.

Date: 27.04.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6300 OF 2026

DATE : 27.04.2026

TU