

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6303 of 2026

DATE: 05.05.2026

BETWEEN:

K. Amarender Reddy

.....petitioner/accused No.1

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad and another

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.643 of 2026 before the

Vansathalipuram Police Station, Malkajgiri District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 318(4), 316(2), 115(2) read with 3(5) of BNS and Section 3(1)(r)(s), 392(va) of SC/ST POA Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the case arises out of Crime No.643 of 2026 of Vanasthalipuram Police Station, initially registered as a Zero FIR at Osmania University Police Station. The de facto complainant alleges that she was in a relationship with the petitioner (A1) for about eight years, during which he promised to marry her and induced her to part with Rs.25 lakhs for real estate investment and 8 tulas of gold, which he allegedly misused and failed to return. It is further alleged that the petitioner subjected her to physical, mental, and financial harassment, abused her by referring to her caste, and threatened her and her child. The petitioner's family members are also alleged to have assaulted and insulted her on caste grounds.

3. Heard Sri A. Aravind, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachander Reddy,

learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even if the allegations in the complaint are taken at face value, no criminal offence is made out and the dispute is essentially civil in nature relating to recovery of money and that the complaint has been lodged with an ulterior motive to extract money and harass the petitioner and his family members. The counsel further submitted that the petitioner had already executed a registered gift deed in favour of the complainant, which shows the bona fides of the petitioner and negates the allegations of cheating. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposes the grant of anticipatory bail, submitting that the allegations disclose serious offences including cheating, physical assault, criminal intimidation, and caste-based abuse under the SC/ST (POA) Act and that the petitioner exploited the complainant under the false promise of marriage for several years and caused

financial and physical harm. During investigation, the victim's statement has been recorded and additional offences have been invoked based on her detailed statement. Considering the gravity of the allegations, ongoing investigation, and the possibility of influencing witnesses, it is contended that the petitioner is not entitled to anticipatory bail and the petition is liable to be dismissed.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the dispute between the parties primarily arises out of a long-standing personal relationship, coupled with allegations of financial transactions and matrimonial promises. The main allegation against the petitioner is that he induced the de facto complainant, on the false promise of marriage, to part with an amount of Rs.25,00,000/- and 8 tulas of gold, and thereafter subjected her to physical, mental, and caste-based abuse. As seen from the record, the relationship between the parties continued for several years, and certain transactions, including execution of a registered gift deed, are not in dispute, which require detailed examination during the course of trial. Considering

the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of allegations and the stage of investigation, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Vanasthalipuram Town Police Station, Malkajgiri District, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release him on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, each with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 05.05.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6303 of 2026

Date: 05.05.2026

SAI