IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 6345 OF 2026

Between:

1. M/s. Didharia Trading Co., Rep. by it's Proprietor Mr. Subhash Chander, At. Shop No. 123, 2nd Addl. Mandi Sirsa Village, Sirsa District, Haryana, 125055.
2. Mr. Subhash Chander, S/o. Deep Chand, Occupation. Proprietor of M/s. Didharia Trading Co., R/o Shop No. 123, 2nd Addl. Mandi Sirsa Village, Sirsa District, Haryana, 125055.

...Petitioners/Accused No.1 & 2

And

1. The State of Telangana, rep. By its Public prosecutor, High Court of Telangana, Hyderabad.
2. M/s. NACL Industries Ltd., Rep. by it's Manager-Legal, Mr.M.Ram Kumar, Plot No.12C Block Lakshmi Towers, NAGARJUNA Hills Panjagutta Hyderabad 500082.

...Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 484 of BNSS praying that in the circumstances stated in the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to modify/ reduction the docket order dated 27.02.2026 in Crl. M.P. No. 6477 of 2025 in STC NI No. 8031 of 2024 directing sureties to non-juristic person i.e Petitioner No. 1.

This Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Memorandum of Grounds of Criminal Petition and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Syed Tousif Basha, Advocate for the Petitioners and Sri Jithender Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and none appeared for the Respondent No.2

The Court made the following: ORDER

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE J.SREENIVAS RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6345 of 2026

Date: 24.04.2026

Between:

M/s Didharia Trading Co., and another

...Petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2

AND

The State of Telangana and another.

...Respondents

Order:

This Criminal Petition has been filed aggrieved by the order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge for the trial of Jubilee Hills Car Bomb Blast Cases-cum-Additional Family Court-cum-XXIII Additional Chief Judge-cum-IX Additional Sessions Judge, Hyderabad, (for short, 'the trial Court') in Crl.M.P.No.6478 of 2025 in S.T.C.NI.No.8031 of 2024, dated 27.02.2026, insofar as directing the petitioner No.1 to execute a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- with two sureties is concerned.

2. Heard Mr. Syed Tousif Basha, learned counsel for the petitioners, and Mr.Jithendar Rao Veeramalla, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing on behalf of respondent No.1.

3. Notice in respect of respondent No.2 is dispensed with on the ground that the petitioners have filed the present petition seeking modification of impugned order, and they are not seeking any relief against respondent No.2. Respondent No.2 is arrayed only as a proforma respondent.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the learned trial Court, on 27.02.2026, allowed Crl.M.P.No.6478 of 2025 and directed the petitioners to execute a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties each and further directed to pay Rs.1,000/- costs to complainant. He further submitted that petitioner No.1, being a proprietorship concern and not a juristic person, question of furnishing sureties by petitioner No.1 does not arise. The petitioners have already complied with the direction regarding payment of costs of Rs.1,000/- to the complainant. Hence, requested this Court to modify the impugned order insofar as directing the petitioner No.1 to execute a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- with two sureties is concerned.

5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not opposed the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

6. Having considered the submissions made by the respective parties and upon perusal of the material available on record, it reveals that the learned trial Court, on 27.02.2026, allowed

Crl.M.P.No.6478 of 2025 and directed the petitioners to execute a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- with two sureties each and to pay Rs.1,000/- costs to complainant and also imposed other conditions. Petitioner No.1 is a proprietorship concern and not a juristic person.

7. In view of the above, the impugned order passed by the learned trial Court to the extent of directing petitioner No.1 to furnish personal bond of Rs.25,000/- with sureties to the satisfaction of the trial Court is liable to be set aside and accordingly set aside. The other conditions imposed by the trial Court shall remain unaltered.

8. With the above said modification, the criminal petition is disposed of.

Miscellaneous applications, pending if any, shall stand closed.

SD/- AHMED ABDULLA KHAN
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Additional Sessions Judge for the Trial of jUbilee Hills Car Bomb Blast case cum IX Additional Sessions Judge, Hyderabad.
2. The Station House Officer, Saifabad Police Station, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to the Public Prosecutor, High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad [OUT]
4. One CC to Sri Syed Tousif Basha, Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CD Copies

GNK

HIGH COURT

DATED: 24/04/2026



ORDER

CRLP.No.6345 of 2026

DISPOSING THE CRIMINAL PETITION

SMT
29/4/26