

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6103 of 2026

DATE: 24.04.2026

Between:

Muppala Leela Krishna

.... Petitioner/accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
(Through SHO, Madgulapally Police Station,
Nalgonda District, in Crime No.253 of 2025).

.... Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail, who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.253 of 2025 of Madugulapally Police Station, Nalgonda District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 318(4) of the BNS and Section 66-D of the ITA-2000-2008.

2. The brief facts of the Prosecution case are that on 24-12-2025, the complainant lodged a complaint before the police stating that, in the month of December 2024, the complainant met the petitioner herein, who was introduced himself as he was doing job in Germany in a company and he came to India on company shift leaves. The accused said that, he will take away to him for higher education and job. The accused would handle University Admission, Visa Process, Job Assistance after arrived in Germany. Trusting his words, the complainant transferred Total Amount of Rs.9,46,000/- to the accused through bank transfer and online Payment (Phone pay). After receiving money he did not initiate any genuine process and delaying with false explanations. Eventually, the accused stopped responding to his phone calls and messages. It is now clear that, the accused never intended to send him to Germany and deliberately cheated the complainant for money. After realizing, the complainant made call to Cyber helpline number (1930) and registered a cyber petition and complained about amount of Rs.9,46,000/-. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri G. S. Jagannath, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and though several cases are registered against the petitioner, his arrest was regularized on execution of PT Warrant on 21.01.2026. It is further submitted that the petitioner herein has filed default-bail application and the same was not considered by the trial Court and dismissed the same on the ground of “no change of circumstances” and the alleged offences are punishable below seven years and as on today, 90 days have been completed, but no charge sheet is filed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious in nature and he is the habitual offender and there are other cases registered against him and he cheated number of victims under the guise of providing job in

Germany. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 21.01.2026 and the record shows that the alleged offences are punishable under Section 318(4) of the BNS and Section 66-D of the ITA-2000-2008 and no charge sheet is filed as on today. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Judicial First Class Magistrate, Miryalaguda.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8)

weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.04.2026
TU

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6103 of 2026

Date:24.04.2026

TU