

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6222 of 2026

DATE: 24.04.2026

Between:

Javadi Akash

...Petitioner/accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad and another.

.... Respondents/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.1 in FIR No.223 of 2026 of Alwal Police Station, Malkajgiri District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 69 and 318(4) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 11.03.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she met the petitioner herein while working at Tech Mahindra, Bhadurpally. The petitioner herien was also working in the same office. Initially they were friends, and in February 2025 he proposed to her for marriage, but she denied his proposal. Later, he took her to meet his family members, who convinced her that he would marry her. Akash promised that he would marry her within three years. Thereafter, on 03.03.2025, he took her to his sister's house situated at Kistamma Enclave, where he allegedly forced her to have physical relations with him. She further stated that she stayed at his sister's house for about four weeks. After that, he asked her to shift to a house near his sister's residence. Accordingly, she rented a house at Plot No. 86, Nagireddy Colony, Alwal. During this period, Akash allegedly took money from her stating that he needed financial help and also forced her to take loans. He also took one tula gold chain from her for money. Later, when she checked his phone, she found that he was in a relationship with one Ashwini Geetha, who also works in

the same office. When the complainant questioned him about this and asked him about marriage, he refused to marry her, declined to leave the other girl, and also refused to return the money taken from her. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Jula Sandeep Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and whatever the relationship between the parties is a consensual relationship and he is aged about 24 years and the victim is aged about 25 years and without any fault, the petitioner herein is falsely implicated in the present case and he is in jail since 04.04.2026 and the material part of the investigation is already completed. Therefore, he prayed

the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature and he not only refused to marry the victim, but also he took money and also one tula gold chain from her, as such he is not entitle for the bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is accused No.1 and he is in jail since 04.04.2026. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 14 including the investigating authority have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court

finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned X Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Medchal.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 24.04.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6222 OF 2026

DATE : 24.04.2026

TU