

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6090 of 2026

DATE: 23.04.2026

Between:

Chinta Ramanjneyulu
S/o Koteswar Rao.

.... Petitioner/
Accused No.20

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.20 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.85 of 2025 of Saroornagar Police Station (now with CID, R.O.Sangareddy) Rachakonda Commissionerate/CID TG. The offences alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Sections 61(2), 110, 111, 143 (1), 318 (4) read with 3 (5) & 3(8) of the BNS & 18 (1), 19, 19 (A) of the Transplantation of Human Organs and Tissues Act, 1994.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 21.01.2023, the Deputy DMHO, lodged a report stating that the petitioner along with other accused indulged organized crime, criminal conspiracy to commit illegal kidney transplantation by trafficking donors and recipients from other States to Hyderabad for personal and financial gain. The prime accused in this case is A.1, who is not a qualified Doctor. The allegation against the petitioner is that the brother-in-law of A.1 took premises on behalf of A.1 on lease and the petitioner decided to give the same on lease and gain an illegal amount of Rs.10,00,000/- as crime proceeds. As such, the petitioner was arrested on 17.03.2026 and remanded to judicial custody and since then he is in jail.

3. Heard Sri K.Nishwitha Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused No.20 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner-accused No.20 is that the petitioner is innocent of the offences alleged against him; that the petitioner is only an witness to the lease deed and there is no other allegation

against him; that the petitioner never involved in the said trafficking; that he was shown as an accused when he filed Habeas Corpus Petition; that initially he was not an accused in the case; that material part of the investigation is completed; that prime accused were already released on bail and hence, he prays this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the investigation with regard to the petitioner was not yet completed; that merely because prime accused were released on bail, petitioner is not entitled for grant of bail; that the knowingly he assisted A.1 for getting the premises; that the petitioner also took an amount of Rs.10,00,000/- and police have to investigate with regard to the bank account of the petitioner, as such the petitioner is not entitled for grant of bail and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for both parties and perusal of the material on record, the petitioner has been in judicial custody from 17.03.2026 and prime accused were already released on bail. The only

ground to the prosecution is the petitioner has not furnished his bank details. Having regard to the above, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/Accused No.20 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused No.20 shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned II Additional District & Sessions Judge, Ranga Reddy District.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused No.20 shall appear before the concerned C.I.D between 09:00 a.m., and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) After release, the petitioner shall furnish his bank details to the C.I.D concerned.
- (iv) The petitioner-accused No.20 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 23.04.2026
YVL