

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5829 of 2026

DATE: 21.04.2026

Between:

Mohd Wajeed

...Petitioner/Sole accused

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through P.S. Falaknuma, Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in FIR No.114 of 2026 of Falaknuma Police Station, Hyderabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 64(2)(m) and 69 of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 08.03.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that she acquainted with the petitioner herein two years ago, who is working as mechanic, and they exchanged their phone numbers and friendship turned into a love. They both used to go to restaurants and parks. Later, in December 2024, the petitioner herein took her on his two-wheeler Activa to a lodge. There he forced her to have physical relations with him by saying that they would get married in the future. Even though she refused, he forcibly had sexual intercourse with her against her will, in the said lodge for the first time. After that, on about five more times, he again took her to the same lodge on the purpose of talking and there the accused had sexual intercourse forcibly with her against her will. Further, on about six times, the accused came in front of her house, made her sit on his two-wheeler Activa and took her to his house at Bibi Ka Chasma, Falaknuma, when no one was present in the house, saying that we would talk there. At that time also he forcibly had sexual intercourse with her against her will. Later he took her to a restaurant, where

they had a quarrel regarding the issue of physical relations and he abused her in filthy language. The last time, in February 2026, he again took her to his house when nobody was present and forcibly had sexual intercourse with her against her will. After that, when she asked him to marry her, he refused saying that he would not marry her and abused her in filthy language. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the same, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Khaja Arajuddin, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and whatever, the relationship between the parties is a consensual relationship and the petitioner herein is aged about 22 years and the de-facto complainant is aged about 24 years and he is in jail since 09.03.2026 and the material part of the investigation is already completed including the

recording of the statement under Section 183 of the BNS. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to him by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner stating that the allegations against the petitioner herein are serious and heinous in nature. Hence, the petitioner is not entitled for the bail. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner herein is in jail since 09.03.2026 and the record shows that they are in relationship since 2024 and the material part of the investigation was already completed. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 15 have already been examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration,

this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XVI Additional Judicial Magistrate, at Nampally, Hyderabad.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

Date: 21.04.2026
TU

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5829 OF 2026

DATE : 21.04.2026

TU