

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5873 of 2026

DATE: 22.04.2026

Between:

Bojja Krishnaiah and another

...Petitioners/accused Nos.1 and 2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad.

.... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioners who are arrayed as accused Nos.1 and 2 in FIR No.07 of 2026 of Thangallapally Police Station, Rajanna Sircilla District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 310(2) of the BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, on 10.01.2026, the de-facto complainant lodged a report before the police stating that

that the complainant is resident of Jagtial Town and eking livelihood by doing human hair business. Today i.e., 10-01-2026 at morning about 4 am, after loading eight quintals of the hair into Mahindra Bolero Pickup Vehicle, the complainant along with his driver Abbinaveni Ravi started towards Hyderabad with the load. On the way, when the complainant reached near Petrol Bunk, at a short distance one Ertiga Vehicle was parked. From that vehicle, one person came onto the middle of the road and signalled us to stop using a torch light. Believing that he might be an RTO Officials, the complainant stopped the vehicle. That person asked us to produce the vehicle papers, and the complainant driver went to him with the papers. At that place, the accused persons present there caught hold of complainant driver, assaulted him with their hands, and took him aside. Meanwhile, another Ertiga vehicle came from behind and stopped in front of complainant vehicle. The accused persons in that vehicle came out wearing masks, threatened to kill complainant and took Rs.5000/- from the pocket, forcibly pulled him out and took away the amount Rs.5000/- and Mahindra Bolero Pick Up loaded vehicle. Thereafter the accused persons in two Ertiga vehicles fled towards Siddipet along with the stolen property. The wroth of the eight quintals of hair loaded in the vehicle is sixteen lakhs and wroth of the Mahindra Bolero Pickup vehicle is four lakhs and amount Rs.5,000/-. Total worth of stolen property is Rs.20,05,000/-.

Thereupon, the complainant's son-in-law along with others intercepted the accused persons' vehicles at Ramancha (V). Out of fear, the accused persons abandoned the Mahindra Bolero Pickup vehicle on the road and fled from the place. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Basing on the said complaint, the police registered a case for the above said offences.

3. Heard Smt. Sahithi Sri Kavya Mukera, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the said allegations and in fact, A.1 and LW-1 were known person and due to the business rivalry, the petitioners herein are falsely implicated in this case and they are in jail since 25.02.2026 and the material part of the investigation was already completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to them by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners stating that the allegations against the petitioners herein are serious in nature. Further, the investigation is not yet

completed. At this stage, the petitioners herein are not entitled for bail. However, he informed that there are no other cases pending against the petitioners. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioners herein are in jail since 25.02.2026 and the record shows that the material part of the investigation is already completed. As seen from the remand case diary, the prosecution witnesses, LWs 1 to 15 have already been examined. Further, there are no other cases pending against the petitioners herein. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioners, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioners shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- each (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned II Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, at Sircilla.

- ii. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioners shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 22.04.2026
TU

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5873 OF 2026

DATE : 22.04.2026

TU