

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5770 of 2026

DATE: 21.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Syed Mujammil Ahemad.

..... Petitioner/sole Accused

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad
Through the Station House Officer,
P.S. Banswada Town, Kamareddy District.

..... Respondent/Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-
arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as sole accused in
Crime No.48 of 2026 before the Banswada Town Police Station,
Kamareddy District, registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 77, 196(1)(a), 79 of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, the *de facto* complainant, who is a customer service employee at Reliance Smart Bazaar, Banswada, lodged a report before the police on 20.02.2026, stating that on 22.02.2026, an individual objected to devotional songs being played at the store, misbehaved, and recorded her without consent. It is further stated that the same person had earlier abused her co-worker over similar issues. The accused was indentified as Syed Mujamil Ahmed. Hence, requested the police to take necessary action. Based on this report, the police registered a case against the accused for the above-mentioned offences.

3. Heard Sri Mohd. Moin Ahmed Quadri, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, and Sri M. Rama Chandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and had been falsely implicated, and that he was not identified by the de-facto complainant. He further submitted that, for the very same incident, the police registered multiple FIRs bearing Nos. 49, 53, 54, 55, 59 to 63, 65 and 71 which amounts to double jeopardy, and that in view of the settled principles of law, several crimes cannot be registered for the same incident based on multiple

complaints from different *de facto* complainants. He further contended that the other accused have already been granted bail, and that the petitioner is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigation. Hence, he prayed this Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing the present Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions, contending that the allegations against the petitioner are severe and grave in nature. He further submitted that the investigation is at a nascent stage and that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary for effective investigation. Accordingly, he prayed for dismissal of the Criminal Petition.

6. In light of the submissions made by both learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the allegation against the petitioner is that he abused the *de facto* complainant in a filthy language and recorded her without consent. It is the specific contention of the petitioner that multiple FIRs have been registered in relation to the same incident. As seen from the complaint, and there are no specific allegations to the petitioner as alleged in the complaint. The record further indicates that the petitioner has not been identified by the *de facto* complainant, and that several co-accused have already been

granted bail. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of the allegations, this Court deems it fit to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Banswada Town Police Station, Kamareddy District within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release them on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- each with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m. for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

7. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 21.04.2026
SRK

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5770 of 2026

Date: 21.04.2026
SRK