

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5721 OF 2026

DATE : 27.04.2026

Between :

Kalleda Narendhar

... Petitioner/A.3

And

The State of Telangana & another

... Respondents/Complainants

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.3 in Cr.No.20 of 2026 of Ibrahimpatnam Police Station, Jagtial District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Section 103(1), 126(2), 238 r/w.3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. The facts of the case are that on 21.02.2026 at about 10:00 a.m., the complainant, Poodari Manoj, lodged a written complaint before Ibrahimpatnam Police stating that he was informed by a village official that a dead body was lying in the agricultural land of Bashetti Ganesh on the outskirts of Erdandi

Village. On reaching the spot, he found the body of Errolla Limbadri with bleeding head injuries and a motorcycle nearby. During enquiry, it was learnt that the deceased had family disputes with his wife Errolla Laxmi, who had been staying at her parental house in Erdandi along with their son Errolla Lithip and daughter Nithika. On the previous night, the deceased had gone there, where a quarrel took place between them, which was pacified by village elders, and he was sent away on his motorcycle at about 10:30 p.m. Later, unknown persons allegedly murdered him with a hard weapon, and the complainant expressed suspicion against the wife, son, and daughter of the deceased.

3. Heard Sri Polampelli Raju, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner/A.3 has been falsely implicated in this case without any credible evidence and merely on suspicion. It is submitted that the arrest and remand are illegal as the grounds of arrest were not furnished to him in writing in Telugu, the language known to him, thereby violating Article 22(1) of the Constitution of India and settled legal principles. Learned

counsel further submitted that the prosecution case is based mainly on an alleged confession made to the police, which is inadmissible in evidence, and there is no independent material connecting the petitioner with the offence. It is also submitted that investigation is substantially complete, all material evidence has been collected, and no further custodial interrogation is required. He further contended that petitioner is suffering from serious health issues and requires further surgery. It is also contended that the role attributed to him is only minor and with no direct evidence of involvement in the murder. The petitioner is a permanent resident and undertakes to abide by any conditions imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that the offence alleged against the petitioner is serious in nature involving murder of the deceased. The petitioner herein was also present at the time of offence along with other accused. Hence, considering the gravity of offence, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material on record, the petitioner herein is A.3 and he is in jail from 23.02.2026. Further, Lws.1 to 12 are examined and the report of post mortem examination is also received including recording the statements under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. Considering all these circumstances, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions :

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Metpally, Jagtial District.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 27.04.2026

Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5721 OF 2026

Date: 27.04.2026

Rds