

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF  
TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.5623 of 2026**

**DATE : 17.04.2026**

**Between:**

Appala Ravindar.

**...Petitioner/Accused**

**AND**

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,  
High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad,  
Through P.S. Jakranpally, Nizamabad District.

**...Respondent**

**ORDER:**

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused in Crime No.21 of 2026 of Jakranpally Police Station, Nizamabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 105 of The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) and Section 135 of Electricity Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 04.02.2026, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report before the police stating that, her husband, who had left home after a quarrel on 03.02.2026, was later found dead in a jowar field at Sikindrapur Village. It is further stated that he died due to electrocution after coming into contact with an illegally installed live electric wire set up by the field owner to deter wild animals. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case against the petitioners for the aforementioned offences.

3. Heard M/s. Jalli Kanakaiah, learned counsel representing Sri Narendar Jalli, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that even according to the prosecution, there is no intention to cause harm to the petitioner herein. In fact, the petitioner electrocuted his farm to protect it from wild animals and bugs. It is further submitted that the said incident was not intentional, but rather a negligent act.

The petitioner is in jail from 24.02.2026 and the material part of the investigation is already completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor opposing the petition stating that the deliberate negligent act committed by the petitioner resulted in the death of the victim, which itself is sufficient to establish the allegations against the petitioner under Section 105 of the BNS. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail from 24.02.2026, who is arrayed as accused and as seen from the record, LW1 to LW22 were examined. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial First Class Magistrate at Armoor.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

---

**K. SUJANA, J**

Date: 17.04.2026  
SRK

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA**

**CRIMINAL PETITION No.5623 of 2026**

**Date: 17.04.2026**

SRK