

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.5588 & 5590 of 2026

DATE: 24.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Shaik Mehraj

.....petitioner/accused No.4

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

COMMON ORDER

Criminal Petition No.5588 of 2026 is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.4 in Crime No.1637 of 2025 before the Narsingi

Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 310(6) of BNS.

2. Criminal Petition No.5590 of 2026 is filed praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.4 in Crime No.912 of 2025 before the Narsingi Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 309(6) of BNS.

3. The brief facts of the cases are that these two criminal petitions arise out of Crime Nos.912/2025 and 1637/2025 of Narsingi Police Station. In Crime No. 912/2025, the prosecution alleges that the complainant and his colleague were intercepted near Kokapet by four persons, threatened with knives, assaulted, wrongfully confined, and robbed of gold ornaments and money through UPI transfer. In Crime No. 1637/2025, the prosecution case is that police apprehended three persons near a construction site while moving suspiciously with iron rods and chilli powder, and during enquiry they disclosed plans to commit theft/dacoity and implicated the petitioner as another accused.

4. Heard Sri S. Mahesh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in both cases and that he was neither named in the FIRs nor apprehended at the scene, and his implication is only based on alleged confessional statements of co-accused, which have no evidentiary value. He further submitted that the petitioner is a young man aged about 19 years, in judicial custody for a considerable period, investigation is completed or substantially completed, charge sheet is filed or likely to be filed, and therefore continued detention is unnecessary. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing these Criminal Petitions.

6. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the bail petitions contending that the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature involving robbery, wrongful confinement, use of weapons, and conspiracy to commit offences. He further submitted that the petitioner is involved along with

other accused, material has been collected during investigation, and his release may hamper the trial or enable him to influence witnesses. Therefore, considering the gravity of the offences and surrounding circumstances, the petitioner is not entitled to bail at this stage. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss these criminal petitions.

7. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 30.08.2025. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the X Additional District and Sessions

Judge, Ranga Reddy District at
Rajendranagar.

- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

8. Accordingly, these Criminal petitions are allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 24.03.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION Nos.5588 & 5590 of 2026

Date: 24.03.2026

SAI