

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF
TELANGANA AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5629 of 2026

DATE : 17.04.2026

Between:

Umar Khaleel @ Khaleel Ramzani.

...Petitioner/Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana at Hyderabad.

...Respondent

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed before this Court for grant of bail to the Petitioner who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.157 of 2026 of Adilabad-II Town Police Station, Adilabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Section 109 r/w 3(5) of The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

2. The brief facts of the case are that, on 02.03.2026, the *de-facto* complainant lodged a report before the police

stating that, after a land transaction dispute, the accused demanded additional money and began harassing him. On 02.03.2026, the accused, along with his son, allegedly attempted to attack the complainant with a knife at his office, causing injuries, thereby attempting to commit murder. Hence, the complainant requested to take necessary action. Based on the said complaint, the police registered a case against the petitioners for the aforementioned offences.

3. Heard Sri Soma Ravi Kiran Reddy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent-State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and that due to land disputes, a false case has filed against this petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in jail from 03.03.2026 and the material part of the investigation is already completed and there is no past history against the petitioner. Therefore, he prayed the

Court to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing this criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposing the petition stating that the alleged offences against the petitioner are serious and heinous in nature. It is further contended that the petitioner herein tried to stab the de-facto complainant, which itself shows the intention of the petitioner to kill the victim. The material part of the investigation is not yet completed. Therefore, he prayed the Court to dismiss the petition. However, he informed the Court that there are no other cases pending against the petitioner.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail from 03.03.2026, who is arrayed as accused No.1 and as seen from the record LW1 to LW9 were examined including investigating authority. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature of the allegations, the stage of investigation, and the duration of

incarceration, this Court finds it appropriate to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions.

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only), with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned I Additional District and Sessions Judge at Adilabad.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 17.04.2026
SRK

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.5629 of 2026

Date: 17.04.2026

SRK