

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4887 OF 2026

DATE :08.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Anupam Kumar Singh

.....Petitioner/A.1

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep., by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad

.....Respondent/Prosecution

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 480 & 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 praying this Court to enlarge the petitioner on bail who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.219 of 2026 of Madhapur Police Station, Ranga Reddy District. The offences alleged against the petitioner are under Sections 64, 75, 77, 78, 61(2), 49, 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') and under Section 66-E and 67A of Information Technology Act.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 07.02.2026, the de facto complainant lodged a complaint before the police stating that on 02.02.2026 she visited the PG room of her friend, Swagatha Roy, at Hitex Inn Co-living PG, Kondapur. After meeting her, Swagatha Roy introduced the complainant to her boyfriend- petitioner, and thereafter they prepared and consumed food together. During that time, the complainant consumed vodka and, after having two to three drinks, became unconscious. It is alleged that while she was in an unconscious state, the petitioner herein sexually assaulted her. The complainant further stated that on the following day, the petitioner shared a video of her and threatened that if she did not comply with his demands, he would upload the said video on social media. Hence, she requested the police to take necessary action against the petitioner. Basing on the said complaint police registered the case against the accused for the above offences.

3. Heard Sri S.Chandrashekhar Yadav, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner is innocent of the said allegations and he is in jail from 07.02.2026, that there is delay in lodging the complaint to the police and material part of investigation is already completed. He further submitted that the grandmother of petitioner died on 07.04.2026 and petitioner has to attend her funeral. The petitioner undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed this Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that the alleged offences against the petitioner are heinous and serious in nature. Investigation is still pending and a requisition was filed to record the statement under Section 183 of BNSS of the victim. Therefore, at this stage, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the counsel and the material on record, the petitioner herein is in jail from 07.02.2026 and Lws.1 to 10 are examined and that a requisition was filed to record the statement of victim under Section 183 of BNSS. Considering the progress in investigation and also the fact that the grandmother of petitioner died, this Court deems it

fit to grant regular bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions :

i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the II Additional Junior Civil Judge-cum-X-Additional Judicial First Class Magistrate, Rangareddy District at Kukatpally.

ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 5.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 483(2) of the BNSS.

iv. The petitioner shall not contact, influence, or threaten the de facto complainant/victim or any of the prosecution witnesses. In the event of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the de facto complainant or the prosecution shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in accordance with law.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Date :08.04.2026
Rds

K. SUJANA, J

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4887 OF 2026

DATE :08.04.2026

Rds