

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4869 OF 2026

DATE : 16.04.2026

Between:

Vatte Ganesh & another

....Petitioners/A.2 & A.3

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Telangana at Hyderabad,
Through P.S.Kukatpally, Malkajgiri

..... Respondent/
Complainant

: ORDER :

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking the Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in connection with Crime No.760 of 2023 of Kukatpally Police Station, Cyberabad. The offences alleged against the petitioners are under Sections 452, 365, 386 r/w.34 of Indian Penal Code.

2. The facts of the case are that on 29.06.2023 at about 00:30 hours, the complainant, Smt. V. Mamatha, lodged a complaint stating that Janaiah-A.1 paid an advance amount of Rs.30,00,000/- to one Murthy of Divya Enterprises through the husband of complainant in connection with purchase of a petrol pump, but later Janaiah was informed that the deal could not be completed due to delay in obtaining the pump licence and demanded her husband for refund of the advance amount, Janaiah and his associates allegedly summoned the husband of complainant to a rice mill at Suryapet, threatened him, and forcibly obtained signatures from him and the complainant on blank papers. Thereafter, Janaiah, along with Ganesh and Venkat Reddy, allegedly continued to harass and threaten them for money, forced them to sign further documents, and demanded Rs.35,00,000/-. Due to such pressure, the complainant sold her property and paid Rs.25,00,000/- and later another Rs.5,00,000/- to Janaiah. Despite payment, the accused persons allegedly assaulted the complainant and her husband, kidnapped them in their own car to Suryapet, wrongfully confined them, took away their mobile phones, and unlawfully seized the complainant's car, further demanding an additional amount of Rs.5,00,000/- for return of the vehicle.

The complainant alleges that though the entire amount demanded had already been paid, the accused continued to threaten and harass them. Hence requested the police to take necessary action against the accused basing on which the present case is registered.

3. Heard Sri R. Shekar Goud, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent – State.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners contend that the petitioners herein are arrayed as A.2 and A.3 basing on the false complaint given by the defacto complainant. There is no evidence against the petitioners to prove the alleged offences, and the police at the instance of defacto complainant conducting investigation mechanically and they are falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further contended that the petitioners will cooperate with the investigation and undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, prayed this Court to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed bail contending that one Murthy and the husband of defacto complainant are doing business of providing petrol bunks with the concerned departments. However, amount was taken from A.1 for providing petrol bunk, but permission was not granted. Hence, the amount has to be paid by Murthy, but not the husband of defacto complainant, but the accused demanded him to repay the amount and harassed them. Hence, petitioner is not entitled to bail and prayed to dismiss this petition.

6. Upon consideration of the facts of the case, the allegations made in the complaint, and the submissions of both sides, this Court observes that the petitioners are arrayed as A.2 and A.3 mainly on the basis of the allegations of the defacto complainant and that the issue pertains to monetary transactions, and as stated by the defacto complainant in her complaint that they themselves signed on the papers, this Court deems it fit to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions :

- i. The petitioners shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Kukatpally Police

Station, Malkajgiri Commissionerate within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release the petitioners on bail on their executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each with two sureties, for the like sum each.

- ii. The petitioners shall appear before the concerned SHO between 09.00 a.m and 05.00 p.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) weeks, for the purpose of investigation or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iii. The petitioners shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of BNSS and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.

7. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 16.04.2026

Rds

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4848 OF 2026

DATE : 16.04.2026

Rds