

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4471 of 2026

DATE: 06.04.2026

Between:

Jondle Sunil S/o Jondle Uddav. Petitioner/
Accused No.1

AND

The State of Telangana,
Rep.by Public Prosecutor,
High Court at Hyderabad,
Through S.H.O., Gudihathnoor
Police Station, Adilabad District. Respondent/
Complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.1 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.13 of 2026 of Gudihathnoor Police Station, Adilabad District. The offence alleged against the petitioner is punishable under Section 109 read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 24.01.2026 the *de facto* complainant lodged a report before the police stating that on 22.01.2026 a quarrel took place between his

friend Achuth and one Sunil. In this regard, a case has been registered against the said Sunil. After medication the said issue was convinced. It is stated that the said Sunil thought that he should speak in Achyuth favour in that matter and on 23.01.2026 at about 21:30 hours while he was present at Praveen hair cutting shop at Sainagar, Gudihathnoor Village, the said Sunil brought the petitioner herein along with him with an intention to kill him and attacked on him by fist punch indiscriminately by saying that how dare you talk in favour of Achyuth and they will kill you. After that among them Sunil attacked on him with Knuckle punch and Mahender Rathod attacked with hand bracelet over his head and back side of the body with an intention to kill him. As a result, the complainant received bleeding injury over the neck and other body parts. Thus he requested the police to take necessary action. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offence. The petitioners herein are accused Nos.2 to 4.

3. Heard Sri Gajanand Chakravarthy, learned counsel for the petitioner/A.1 and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy,

learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

4. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner/Accused No.1 is that the petitioner is innocent of the offence alleged against him; that there are no disputes between petitioner and the injured person; that petitioner was falsely implicated in this case; that the petitioner was not present at the scene of offence; that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the Investigating Authority and therefore, requested the Court to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same and submits that the offence alleged against the petitioner is grievous and also heinous in nature; that though petitioner has been in judicial custody from 27.02.2025, there are five crimes pending against him, therefore, he is not entitled for grant of bail; that investigation is not yet completed and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel and upon perusal of the material on record, it appears that the petitioner has been in judicial custody since

22.02.2025. Further, as seen from the record, L.Ws.1 to 10 were already examined, including the Investigating Agency. The injury Certificate issued by the hospital authorities shows that the injuries are simple in nature. Having regard to the above aspects and considering the period of incarceration of petitioner in jail, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner/A.1 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioner-accused No.1 shall execute personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Boath, Adilabad District.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused No.1 shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-accused No.1 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

8. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 06.04.2026

YVL

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4471 of 2026

DATE: 06.04.2026

YVL