

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4368 of 2026

DATE: 30.03.2026

Between:

Marikanti Madhawaraj.

...Petitioner/A.2

AND

The State of Telangana,
Through its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of
Telangana, Hyderabad
Through Shamshabad police
Station.

.... Respondent

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Sections 480 & 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner/Accused No.2 seeking to enlarge him on bail in connection with Crime No.141 of 2026 on the file of Shamshabad Police Station, Future City District, registered for the offence punishable under Section 109 of BNS.

2. Heard Sri B.Mayur Reddy, learned Senior Counsel, representing Sri Pally Vinod Kumar Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, appearing for the respondent-State.

3. The *de facto* complainant lodged a written report before police on 19.03.2026 wherein it is stated that when complainant and one Naresh waited at Uppal bus stop. Meanwhile, the wife of the complainant came and boarded the RTC bus. The complainant and his friend followed the said bus. At Aramghar X road, the wife of the complainant got down from the bus and boarded one unknown Swift car and started going towards Shadnagar. Then the complainant along with Naresh followed the said car. They stopped the car and when the complainant is trying to speak with his wife and asking about another person, meanwhile that car driver and complainant's wife both drove their car forward and came back in reverse and dashed to the complainant's bike intentionally and tried to kill him. As a result, the complainant and his friend fell down from the bike and received dumb injuries on his left side shoulder, hip and bleeding injuries on his left side shoulder and left side leg. Hence, the complainant lodged a complaint to take necessary action against the accused. Basing on the same, police registered a case for the aforesaid offences.

4. Learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner-accused No.2 would submit that the petitioner is

innocent of the offence alleged against him; that even according to the complainant, the complainant's wife has no intention to kill the victims; that material part of the investigation is completed; A.1 and A.2 driving the vehicle. Even according to the complainant, when he questioned his wife, there is no intention to kill the victims, in fact the petitioner herein is an Advocate and he was a counsel to the divorce case filed by the wife due to that grudge only the parties were implicated in these cases and requested the Court to grant regular bail to the petitioner as he is in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 and material part of the investigation is already completed.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the same on the ground that the offence alleged against the petitioner is heinous and grievous in nature. The victim has been undergoing treatment, as such medical certificate is awaited and hence, he prays to dismiss the petition.

6. Considering the submissions made by both the parties and the material available on record, the offence alleged against the petitioner is under Section 109 of BNS. Taking the statement of victim and also the complainant and

as investigation is in progress and taking into consideration the incarceration of the petitioner in judicial custody from 19.03.2026, this Court deems it fit to grant regular bail to the petitioner/accused No.2 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) The petitioners-Accused No.2 shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned XI Additional Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Rajendranagar.
- (ii) On such release, the petitioner-accused No.2 shall appear before the concerned SHO between 11:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., on every Wednesday for a period of eight (8) weeks or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.
- (iii) The petitioner-Accused No2 shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437 (3) of Cr.P.C. (presently, Section 480 (3) of BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 30.03.2026

YVL