

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AT
HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4348 of 2026

DATE : 30.03.2026

Between:

G. Sriram Naik and another.

...Petitioners/Accused Nos.2 & 4

AND

The State of Telangana
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Hyderabad.

...Respondent/Complainant

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'the BNSS') by the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 4 seeking to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners in C.C.No.962 of 2010 on the file of the learned XIII Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Manoranjan Complex at Hyderabad registered for the offences under Sections 498-A and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 4 & 6 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioner No.1 married the de-facto complainant on 28.11.2007, and they were blessed with a son. Due to matrimonial disputes, a complaint was lodged on 06.09.2010, leading to registration of Crime No.492/2010 for offences under Sections 498-A IPC and Sections 4 & 6 of the Dowry Prohibition Act. After investigation, charge sheet was filed and the case was taken on file as C.C.No.962/2010. Subsequently, the parties entered into a compromise in 2014, however, the same was not recorded before the trial court. Due to non-appearance of the petitioners, Non-Bailable Warrants were issued. It is further submitted that their absence was neither willful nor intentional and was due to bona fide reasons, and apprehending their arrest in execution of the said warrants. It is further submitted that accused No.2 is aged about 76 years old and the accused No.4 is aged about 52 years old. Aggrieved by the same, the present Criminal Petition is filed.

3. Heard Sri K. Saibabu, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri M. Ramachandra Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for respondent. Perused the record.

4. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, it is evident that the petitioners have

not approached the trial Court for recall of the Non-Bailable Warrant on 25.03.2025 and, instead, have approached this Court seeking Anticipatory Bail.

5. In view of the same, the Criminal Petition is disposed of, directing the petitioners to surrender before the trial Court and file recall petition. Upon such filing, the trial Court is directed to consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall also stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 30.03.2026
SRK

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4348 of 2026

Date: 30.03.2026

SRK