

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

AT HYDERABAD

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4373 of 2026

DATE: 08.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Heena Begum

.....petitioner/accused No.1

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by its Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana
at Hyderabad and another.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner, who is arrayed as accused No.1 in Crime No.133 of 2025 before the Kodangal

Police Station, Vikarabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 108 read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 08.08.2025, the respondent No.2 lodged a complaint stating that her son, who was married to Accused No.1 about three years prior, was subjected to frequent marital disputes. It is alleged that the deceased, along with his wife, had stayed at Hyderabad with her brothers (Accused Nos.4 and 5), where they allegedly beat him due to family disputes. Thereafter, the deceased returned to his native village and a panchayat was held regarding the said disputes. On 07.08.2025, the deceased went to his mother-in-law's house (Accused No.2), and later it was informed that he had consumed pesticide and was shifted to hospital, where he succumbed to death. Suspecting that the continuous harassment and alleged beating by the accused led the deceased to commit suicide, a case was registered for the above said offences.

3. Heard Sri Ch. Ganesh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M. Rama Chandra

Reddy, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner/Accused No.3 has been falsely implicated in the present case and has no role whatsoever in the alleged offence and that there are no specific allegations or overt acts attributed to the petitioner in the complaint and the allegations are vague and omnibus in nature. He contended that there is no material to show that the petitioner abetted the deceased to commit suicide or had any direct involvement in the alleged harassment. He further contended that the complaint itself does not disclose ingredients constituting the offence alleged. Therefore, he prayed the Court to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner by allowing this Criminal Petition.

5. On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the petition contending that the allegations in the complaint clearly indicate that the deceased was subjected to harassment and physical assault by the accused persons, which ultimately led him to commit suicide. He further submitted that the matter requires thorough investigation to

ascertain the role of each accused and the circumstances leading to the death of the deceased. Therefore, at this stage, granting of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner does not arise. Hence, he prayed the Court to dismiss the criminal petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the case arises out of matrimonial disputes between the deceased and accused No.1 and the subsequent death of the deceased by consuming pesticide. The main allegation against the petitioner is that he, along with other accused, harassed and allegedly beat the deceased, which led him to commit suicide. As seen from the record, there are allegations against the petitioner and the material part of the investigation is completed. Further, the allegation against the petitioner is that he harassed the deceased, as such, he committed the suicide at the place of the petitioner's parents and the report is filed by the mother of the deceased and the averments in the complaint shows that the petitioner and deceased used to quarrel from the date of marriage itself and there are no such specific allegations or averments in the complaint that the petitioner abetted to commit the suicide, as

such, custodial interrogation is not necessary. Considering the same, this Court is inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall surrender before the Station House Officer, Kodangal Police Station, Vikarabad District, within two weeks from today, and on such surrender, the said Station House Officer shall release her on bail on executing a personal bond for Rs.25,000/-, each with two sureties, for the like sum each.
- ii. The petitioner shall abide by the other conditions stipulated in Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in investigating the case.
- iii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned Investigating Officer on every Wednesday between 09:00 a.m, and 05:00 p.m., for a period of eight (8) weeks or till the filing of the charge sheet, whichever is earlier and thereafter, as and when required.
- iv. The petitioners are directed not to contact the de facto complainant or

any other witnesses during the course
of investigation.

8. Accordingly, this Criminal Petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand
closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 08.04.2026
SAI

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4373 of 2026

Date: 08.04.2026

SAI