

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD**

THE HONOURABLE SMT. JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4265 of 2026

DATE: 02.04.2026

BETWEEN:

Maisani narsimulu and 4 others

.....petitioner/accused Nos.1to 5

And

The State of Telangana,
Rep. by Public Prosecutor,
High Court for the State of Telangana,
at Hyderabad.

.....Respondent/complainant

ORDER

This Criminal Petition is filed seeking the Court to enlarge the petitioner, on bail, who is arrayed as accused Nos.1 to 5 in Crime No.17 of 2026 before the Bomraspet Police Station, Vikarabad District, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 109 (1), 118(1), 118(2), 115(2), 351(2), 352 read with 3(5) of BNS.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the de facto complainant, on 15.03.2026, lodged a complaint before the police stating that he was working as a food delivery worker. On 13.03.2026, during the night hours, he returned from Hyderabad to his native village and stayed at his house. On 15.03.2026 at about 11:00 hours, the complainant went towards the Pochamma Temple to attend to nature's call. At that time, one Maisani Narsimulu, a resident of the same village and a relative of the complainant, called him stating that he wanted to speak with him. Thereafter, the said person, along with others, allegedly abused the complainant in filthy language and assaulted him with sticks, a belt, hands, and legs. It is further stated that the complainant informed his parents and other persons, who came to the spot and rescued him. Thereafter, a report was lodged before the police to register a case against the accused persons. In view of the same, a case was registered under the relevant sections, and the petitioners were arrayed as Accused Nos.1 to 5.

3. Heard Sri R.Prasanth, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner as well as Sri M.Ramachandra Reddy,

learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent – State.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent of the alleged offences and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the complaint has been lodged only to discourage the de facto complainant from continuing allegations against the wife of Accused No.1, and that no such incident as alleged has taken place. It is further submitted that even according to the prosecution, there are no specific overt acts attributed to the petitioners, and there was no intention to cause death. He further submitted that the petitioners have been in judicial custody since 15.03.2026 and are ready to cooperate with the Investigating Officer. Therefore, he prayed that this Court may be pleased to grant bail to the petitioner by allowing the criminal petition.

5. On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor opposed the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, contending that the offences alleged are grave and heinous in nature. It is further submitted that the investigation is still in progress, and the

Investigating Officer is yet to complete the same and place the material on record. Therefore, he prayed that this Court may be pleased to dismiss the Criminal Petition.

6. In the light of the submissions made by both the learned counsel and a perusal of the material available on record, it appears that the petitioner is in jail since 15.03.2026. As seen from the record, the material part of the investigation has been completed. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the incarceration period of the petitioner, this Court deems it fit to grant bail to the petitioner, subject to the following conditions:

- i. The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) each, with two sureties for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate of First Class at Kodangal.
- ii. The petitioner shall appear before the concerned SHO at 11:00 a.m., on every Monday for a period of eight (8) week or till filing of charge sheet whichever is earlier, for the purpose of

investigation, and thereafter, as and when required.

- iii. The petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated in Section 437(3) of Cr.P.C.(presently, Section 480(3) of the BNSS).

7. Accordingly, the Criminal petition is allowed.

Miscellaneous applications, if any pending, shall stand closed.

K. SUJANA, J

Date: 02.04.2026
SPD

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE K. SUJANA

CRIMINAL PETITION No.4265 of 2026

Date: 02.04.2026

SPD